O3QASEC1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK -----x 2 3 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 4 Plaintiff, 5 23 Civ. 1346 (JSR) V. 6 TERRAFORM LABS PTE LTD., et 7 al. 8 Defendants 9 -----x New York, N.Y. March 26, 2024 10 10:00 a.m. 11 Before: 12 HON. JED S. RAKOFF 13 District Judge 14 -and a Jury-15 APPEARANCES 16 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff By: JAMES P. CONNOR 18 DEVON STAREN CARINA CUELLAR 19 LAURA E. MEEHAN CHRISTOPHER J. CARNEY 20 ROGER LANDSMAN 21 22 23 24 25

```
1
                            APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2
      DENTONS US LLP
           Attorneys for Defendant Terraform
3
          LOUIS A. PELLEGRINO III
           DAVID KORNBLAU
 4
           MARK CALIFANO
           DOUGLAS W. HENKIN
           MATTHEW A. LAFFERMAN
5
6
      KAPLAN HECKER & FINK LLP
           Attorney for Defendant Kwon
 7
      BY: MICHAEL FERRARA
           CHRISTOPHER MOREL
8
           DAVID E. PATTON
           ANDREW CHESLEY
9
           SEAN HECKER
10
      Also Present:
11
      Shadow Haywood, SEC Trial Assistant
12
      Armando Aquino, Terraform Trial Assistant
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(Trial resumed; in open court)
(Case called)

THE COURT: Please be seated. So my law clerk will hand to each of the parties my rulings on the 30(b)(6) deposition so you can conform the video.

I understand the parties have something they wanted to raise.

MR. FERRARA: Thank you, your Honor. Michael Ferrara for Do Kwon.

Your Honor, we just wanted to raise with the Court how your Honor -- if we have objections to your Honor's questions, what is the best way to raise those.

THE COURT: You can object.

MR. FERRARA: I think your Honor can understand that we don't want to suggest that we're sort of sideways with the Court necessarily in front of the jury. If you're saying object, we'll object, but there would be -- maybe could we ask, for instance, for a side bar so we could explain the situation and I'm happy to sort of --

THE COURT: If you want a side bar, I will initially allow that. We'll see if it's a waste of time. My questions are basically for clarification. So several times I ask a question to make sure that the jury understood exactly what the witness was saying, and for that purpose, I will often lead. But the leading is permissible because it's a summary of what

he said before. But if you've got a problem with it, you want a side bar, I'll hear you at that time.

MR. FERRARA: To be totally clear, your Honor, we think that 95 percent or everything your Honor asks is totally unobjectionable. At times -- and also not complaining about leading, except at times it felt -- or it seems having reviewed the record, at times the Court perhaps recast what the witness had said in a way that was a little more favorable let's say for the witness, and it would be in those instances where we might object.

THE COURT: Well, I'm shocked that you thought this witness was such a dumbbell that if I misstated something, he wouldn't correct it. But I hear your point.

MR. FERRARA: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. KORNBLAU: Your Honor, we appreciate the rulings on the deposition testimony for later today. I was handed a copy and it's just cutoff at the bottom. I see O for overruled.

THE COURT: Yes. I'm sorry. Does someone have the original? Because I have a cutoff copy as well. Let's get the original and give them the copy. Great. So what it says is O equals objection overruled. S equals objection sustained, but there were no such sustainings. And E equals errata statement received along with original testimony to which it corresponds.

So this follows up with what I mentioned yesterday. It's silly to have the errata come in piecemeal after the testimony. So where I'm accepting the errata, I'm not accepting all the errata, but I'm accepting some of it where the errata is accepted. Then at that point the video has to be interrupted and someone either has to state or put on the screen or something whatever the errata is to that particular portion. Okay?

MR. KORNBLAU: Thank you. May I just ask for the record what would be the basis for excluding some of the errata?

THE COURT: Yes. The exclusions were because they were hopelessly vague and meaningless. So, for example, and of course just like deposition testimony, your adversary — all objections are preserved except to form. So, for example, let me see the errata sheet. Wait a minute. I think I have it here. Hold on. Yeah. I've got it.

So where the errata was, for example, something that I permitted: Chai transactions were integrated with the Terra blockchain as of September 2019. I received that, and that will be put to the jury. But where you had things like: Chai the company did not itself directly interface with a Terra blockchain at this time, but did rely on its partnership with TFL to integrate the functionality of its payment product into the Terra blockchain to rebuild the payment stack as described

in the article. Meaningless.

MR. KORNBLAU: So, your Honor is objecting to the answer but not the question?

THE COURT: I'm sorry?

MR. KORNBLAU: You're objecting --

THE COURT: I thought you're asking why I objected to certain of the errata.

MR. KORNBLAU: Right. Errata are the answers, not the questions.

THE COURT: I don't understand what you're saying.

MR. KORNBLAU: Well, you're just striking the witness's answer to questions. I just want to be clear so I understand.

THE COURT: No. If you mean the errata answers, yes.

MR. KORNBLAU: Correct, yes.

THE COURT: So the basic point you wanted to make in your answers in your errata that I allowed in, and you can expand on it in your case, obviously is the allegation, the testimony of the (30)(b)(6) witness, which he was contrary to what he said in his testimony, which is also coming into evidence, but it was part of his errata that the Chai transactions were integrated with the Terra blockchain as of September 2019. That's in, in several places, that errata.

What is not in is that meaningless statement that I just read, which the jury would be unable to comprehend in any

way, shape, or form.

Now, you may be able to introduce it as part of your case. But, for example, when you say that Chai the company did not itself directly interface with the Terra blockchain at this time, but did rely on its partnership with TFL to integrate the functionality of its payment product into the Terra blockchain to rebuild the payment stack as described in the article. And of course, because this was an errata, it was not subject to cross-examination. It is meaningless. It is vague. If you had asked that, if the witness had given that statement in court and an objection had been raised on vagueness, impossible to comprehend, I would have sustained that objection. Got it?

MR. KORNBLAU: Yes, sir. Thank you.

THE COURT: Very good.

MR. CONNOR: Your Honor, the SEC just has three quick --

THE COURT: Is the jury here?

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Yes.

THE COURT: I'm giving you two minutes.

MR. CONNOR: I will hold off. One quick thing is on the stipulations, we have certain stipulations that we would like read into the record before each witness testifies. Our proposal is that your Honor would read the stipulations, but we are also happy to do so.

THE COURT: No. The party offering the stipulation,

which is you presuming, will read the stipulation and I'll tell them what a stipulation is.

MR. CONNOR: The second quick issue is there's a video of a conversation between Kanav Kariya and Do Kwon that we intend to introduce into evidence, and I have copies right here. It's the records of the phone calls between the two. We are agreeing to use the defense exhibits, which are in the pretrial order as already admissible. We understand, notwithstanding that fact the defense still has some objection, we think that objection will be unfounded on multiple grounds.

THE COURT: If they listed as their exhibit, I don't see how they can possibly object, but we'll hear.

MR. CONNOR: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Your Honor, we think you should review the entire video, which that sub exhibit is a portion of, and this discussion might be better handled after we have a break because we'd like you to see the video itself.

THE COURT: Fair. Bring the witness. And bring in the jury, please.

MS. CUELLAR: Your Honor, good morning. Carina Cuellar. We were going to start with reading fact stipulations.

THE COURT: So exciting. Why not.

MR. FERRARA: Your Honor, we would ask if starting with factual stipulation, if the witness could not be in the

```
courtroom for the reading of the fact stipulations.
1
 2
               THE COURT: Why?
               MR. FERRARA: If a witness would testify about the
3
      stipulations, that would violate the rule against witnesses --
 4
5
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Jury entering.
6
               MR. FERRARA: I don't know which are being read.
 7
               THE COURT: All right. The witness can step out for a
8
     minute.
9
               (Continued on next page)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for your promptness. I'm sorry counsel had some matters they needed to raise with the Court, which is why we're starting a few minutes late. By the way, we'll be sitting only until 3:30 because of other matters I have.

Before the next witness is called, counsel is going to read what's called a stipulation. And a stipulation is something that both sides agree to, so you can consider it as fully proven, both sides agree to it. And I want to remind you, there are three sources of evidence in this case. One is the testimony of the witnesses. One is the exhibits that have been received in evidence. And the third is a stipulation. And the difference only is that the stipulation is accepted by both sides. So you don't have to worry about whether it's disputed or not.

So this is so exciting. We're going to read a stipulation.

MS. CUELLAR: Yes, your Honor. Good morning.

Stipulation one, defendant Do Kwon, along with an individual named Daniel Shin, founded Terraform Labs, PTE, Ltd. in April 2018.

Terraform is a Singaporean software development firm.

Stipulation four, in April 2019 Terraform launched the Terraform blockchain.

Stipulation five, since the inception of Luna, 1 Terraform owned hundreds of millions of Luna tokens. 2 3 Stipulation six, the UST Luna mint-burn algorithm was 4 intended to provide an arbitrage opportunity for traders in UST 5 and Luna to help keep the price of UST pegged at \$1. 6 Stipulation seven, by May 1, 2022, Luna had a market 7 value of more than \$27 billion. Stipulation eight, on May 4, 2022, UST had a market 8 9 value of approximately \$18.5 billion, of which approximately 10 14 billion was on deposit in the Anchor protocol. Stipulation nine, by mid May 2022, the sum of the 11 market capitalization of Luna in UST was more than \$40 billion. 12 13 Stipulation ten, by late May 2022, the price of Luna 14 fell to under 1 cent. 15 Stipulation eleven, defendants directed the movement of funds and/or assets from Luna Foundation Guard wallet 16 17 addresses and accounts that held Luna Foundation Guard funds 18 and/or assets. 19 Thank you, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. Please call your next witness. 21 MS. CUELLAR: At this time, we call Boris Revsin. 22 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please take the witness stand. 23 BORIS REVSIN, 24 called as a witness by the Plaintiff, 25 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

03QASEC1 Revsin - Direct

1 THE COURT: Counsel.

- 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MS. CUELLAR:
- 4 Q. Good morning, sir. What is your state of residence?
- 5 A. Massachusetts.
- 6 Q. What is your highest level of education?
- 7 A. Some college.
- 8 | Q. Where did you attend college?
- 9 A. University of Massachusetts Amherst.
- 10 | Q. And what year did you leave college?
- 11 A. Approximately 2008.
- 12 | Q. And why did you leave college?
- 13 A. To start a company.
- 14 | Q. And what was the name of the company you started?
- 15 A. It's now called Breaktime Media.
- 16 | O. And what was it called at the time?
- 17 A. Campus Live.
- 18 Q. What did that company do?
- 19 A. We created advertising technology for major brands, among
- 20 other things.
- 21 | Q. And why did you leave that company?
- 22 A. It was acquired.
- 23 | Q. And what year did you leave?
- 24 | A. I left in 2015.
- 25 | Q. And what did you do after?

- 1 A. I started another company.
- 2 | Q. And how long did you stay there?
- 3 A. Approximately two, two and a half years.
 - Q. And what did you do next?
- 5 A. I began investing personally out of another company that I
- 6 started.

- 7 Q. And what was the name of that company?
- 8 A. It was called Game Theory Group.
- 9 | Q. And what did the Game Theory Group invest in?
- 10 A. We invested in cryptocurrencies and FinTech companies.
- 11 | Q. And what made you interested in cryptocurrency?
- 12 A. My background in computer science, economics, and game
- 13 | theory.
- 14 | Q. And what in particular did the Game Theory Group do?
- 15 | A. We occasionally wrote research reports for clients and
- 16 partners and then sourced investment opportunities in the
- 17 | space.
- 18 | Q. And did you become familiar with something called the
- 19 | blockchain?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And in simple terms, what is a blockchain?
- 22 | A. A blockchain is essentially a set of transactions that are
- 23 | fully transparent, censorship resistant, and available usually
- 24 | for all to see online. And that makes the transactions that
- 25 | occur immutable, meaning they can't be reversed or changed.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

240

1 Q. Now, how long did you stay --

THE COURT: Just so we're clear, the blockchain is a ledger of these, right?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. CUELLAR: Thank you, your Honor.

- Q. And how long did you stay at the blockchain group?
- A. Game Theory Group.
- Q. Sorry. The Game Theory Group.
- A. Approximately two years.
- 11 | Q. And what did you do next?
- 12 | A. I joined a company called Republic.
- 13 Q. And what is Republic?
- 14 A. Republic is a set of products -- or it's a company that
- 15 creates a set of products, one of which was a crowdfunding
- 16 | platform, one of which was my group, The Venture Group.
- 17 | Q. And what is a crowdfunding platform?
- 18 A. A crowdfunding platform is website that is regulated and
- 19 | allows retail investors to deploy smaller amounts of capital
- 20 | into early stage startups.
- 21 | Q. And what did your group, The Venture Group, focus on?
- 22 | A. We worked with accredited and qualified investors to make
- 23 more traditional venture capital investments.
- 24 | Q. And how long did you stay at Republic?
- 25 A. Almost four years.

O3QASEC1 Revsin - Direct

- 1 | Q. And where did you go after that?
- 2 A. I went to a firm called Tribe Capital.
- 3 Q. And are you still at Tribe Capital?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. What does Tribe Capital do?
- 6 A. Tribe Capital is a traditional venture capital firm.
- 7 Q. Now, going back to your time at Republic, what was your
- 8 role?
- 9 A. I was the cofounder of the group and then the head of the group for the majority of my time there.
- 11 | Q. And so what were your duties and responsibilities?
- 12 A. Managing the teams, sourcing investments, leading
- 13 | investments, among other things.
- 14 | Q. And what did Republic mainly invest in?
- 15 A. My group primarily invested in FinTech, cryptocurrency
- 16 companies, marketplace, SAAS companies. So a lot of
- 17 | traditional venture, but quite a bit of cryptocurrency
- 18 | investing.
- 19 Q. And just if you could briefly explain, you used a term
- 20 | called FinTech. What is FinTech?
- 21 | A. Financial technology or financial services technology.
- 22 | Q. While at Republic, did you research prospective
- 23 | investments?
- 24 A. Yes. I was part of a group that would do that.
- 25 | Q. And how would you and Republic go about doing that?

- 1 A. We would review material that was provided to us both
- 2 privately. We would also review public material. We would
- 3 look at on-chain data, which is essentially the blockchain
- 4 available online among other things.
- 5 | Q. And why would you look at on-chain data?
- 6 A. Because the -- most of the blockchains are transparent and
- 7 | online, and it's a public ledger. You can see activity in real
- 8 | time.
- 9 Q. And who mainly invested in Republic?
- 10 A. Invested in Republic? Our clients?
- 11 | Q. Yes.
- 12 A. We would have family offices, institutions, ultrahigh
- 13 | networks. That was my group. The crowdfund was very
- 14 | different.
- 15 | Q. Now, at some point did you hear of Terraform Labs?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And did you hear of a person named Do Kwon?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And around when was this?
- 20 A. Approximately some point in 2020.
- 21 | Q. And who did you understand Do Kwon to be?
- 22 | A. The cofounder of Terra Luna and its associated products.
- 23 | Q. So in this time period around 2020, what did you hear about
- 24 | Terraform?
- 25 A. That it was an emerging stablecoin platform and protocol

- that was getting a lot of attention in the press and among retail investors.
- 3 | Q. You used a term stablecoin. What is a stablecoin?
- 4 A. A stablecoin typically is meant to mimic the U.S. dollar in
- 5 | the sense that it stays worth a dollar. However, it's on-chain
- 6 meaning, it acts similar to other cryptocurrencies when it's
- 7 being transferred.
- 8 | Q. Now, when you first heard of Terraform Labs, did Republic
- 9 | invest in Terraform?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. Now, did you and Republic Capital become interested in
- 12 | Terraform sometime later?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And around when was this?
- 15 | A. 2021.
- 16 | Q. And why?
- 17 A. As the protocol grew and the amount of interest grew. We
- 18 | thought it could be an interesting investment opportunity for
- 19 | our clients.
- 20 | Q. Now, at some point did you learn of an opportunity to
- 21 | invest in Terraform?
- 22 | A. We did.
- 23 | O. And around when was this?
- 24 A. I believe it was at some point late in 2021.
- 25 | Q. And how did you learn about this opportunity?

Revsin - Direct

- 1 I heard about it I think the first time from one of our 2 partner funds.
 - MS. CUELLAR: And Mr. Haywood, if we could please display for the witness Plaintiff's Exhibit 24a.
 - And do you recognize this exhibit, sir?
- I do. 6 Α.

3

4

- 7 And what is it?
- 8 It is an e-mail from Arjun Sethy at Tribe Capital letting me know about the opportunity to invest in Terra. 9
- 10 And is there an attachment to the e-mail? Ο.
- 11 Α. I believe so.
- 12 MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please display it for 13 the witness, Plaintiff's Exhibit 24b.
- 14 Do you recognize this exhibit? Q.
- I do. 15 Α.
- And what is it? 16 0.
- 17 It's the marketing deck that the Terra team used to promote 18 the investment.
- 19 MS. CUELLAR: And, your Honor, at this time, we move 20 to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 24a and 24b into evidence.
- 21 MR. FERRARA: Without objection.
- 22 THE COURT: Received.
- 23 (Plaintiff's Exhibits 24a, 24b received in evidence)
- 24 MS. CUELLAR: If we could please display for the
- 25 courtroom Plaintiff's Exhibit 24a. If we could focus on the

- 1 | bottom half.
- 2 | Q. And, sir, who is the sender of this e-mail?
- 3 A. The e-mail that went to Paul is Do Kwon.
- 4 | Q. And who was Paul?
- 5 A. Paul was an associate, an analyst at Tribe Capital.
- 6 Q. And is Tribe Capital where you work now?
- 7 A. Yes.

- Q. And what date was this sent?
- 9 A. November 15, 2021.
- 10 | Q. And can you please read the subject.
- 11 A. Confidential Terra Forex Reserve Raise.
- 12 | Q. What does Forex mean?
- 13 A. In this subject line, it's meant for foreign exchange.
- 14 Q. Now, focusing on the actual text of the e-mail, after "hi
- 15 | Paul, Do from Terra here."
- 16 Can you please read that first paragraph?
- 17 A. "TLDR, we are looking to raise approximately 1 billion USD
- 18 worth of wBTC to put into a decentralized reserve smart
- 19 | contract to buttress UST's stability mechanism (users can
- 20 | redeem UST against Bitcoin). We believe this will assuage
- 21 | lingering worries about the stability of UST's core stability
- 22 | mechanism and position UST to capture market share against
- 23 Tether and USDC as regulatory pressures mount up against them."
- 24 | Q. Now, in the first line it says approximately \$1 billion
- 25 worth of wBTC. What is wBTC?

- 1 wBTC is Wrapped Bitcoin, typically on the Etherum protocol.
- So if you wanted to trade Bitcoin outside the Bitcoin protocol, 2
- you could use the wBTC. 3
- And at the bottom it says "and position UST to capture 4
- 5 market share against Tether and USDC." What was Tether?
- Tether was another stablecoin. 6 Α.
 - And what was USDC?
- Also another stablecoin. 8
- So based on this paragraph, what did you understand the 9
- 10 fundraise was for?
- 11 Based on this paragraph, Do Kwon was trying to raise money
- 12 to add additional support to the stablecoin through Wrapped
- 13 Bitcoin.

- Q. And if you could now please read the second paragraph 14
- 15 beginning with "terms of the round?"
- "Terms of the round are: Selling Luna tokens for 16
- 17 40 percent discount from one month TWAP/four-year lock
- 18 up/annual 25 percent vest. Jump capital is leading with a
- 300 million-dollar commitment." 19
- 20 So first of all, what is TWAP?
- 21 It just basically means the average of the trading price
- 22 over a certain period of time.
- 23 And if you can, can you explain these terms in layman's
- 24 terms?
- 25 The idea is that over a certain period of time, in

Revsin - Direct

- 1 this case four years, the availability for the investor to 2 potentially sell these tokens would unlock. And so, again, the idea is for it to take a certain amount of time. What it's 3 4 saying here is every year, 25 percent would become available to 5 the investor.
 - And what does it mean to unlock?
 - Because Luna was sitting in a smart contract for the investor, basically would programmatically make itself available to be sold, so you could then sell it on an exchange. As opposed to when it's locked, you couldn't do that.
 - And did you review the attachment to the e-mail?
- 12 Α. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

- 13 MS. CUELLAR: If we could please display Plaintiff's 14 Exhibit 24b. And if we could please move to page four.
- 15 Q. Could you please read the title?
- "Terra is the market leader in decentralized stablecoins." 16 Α.
- 17 And if you could please read the line underneath? Q.
- 18 "Terra's largest stablecoin, UST, has a market cap of
- 19 2.7 billion and is the fifth largest stablecoin by market 20 capitalization."
- 21 So what does that mean, market capitalization?
- 22 MR. KORNBLAU: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- 23 THE COURT: Did you have an understanding of what that 24 meant?
- 25 THE WITNESS: I did, yes.

5

6

7

8

9

THE WITNESS: Market capitalization, meant the

248

3 aggregate amount of total float for the stablecoin.

4 BY MS. CUELLAR:

- Q. And when it says market cap of 2.7 billion, what is market cap short for?
 - MR. KORNBLAU: Objection. Lack of foundation.
- MR. FERRARA: I'll add 702 and 703, your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Overruled.
- 10 A. Market cap means market capitalization.
- 11 Q. So did this influence you in any way, what's represented
- 12 here?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. How so?
- 15 | A. 2.7 billion is a substantial amount of market
- 16 | capitalization for any product in the crypto space and
- 17 certainly for a stablecoin.
- MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please move to page
- 19 five.
- 20 | Q. If you could please read the title here.
- 21 A. Terra is the fourth largest network by TVL.
- 22 | Q. Now, in your job at an investor, did you become familiar
- 23 | with the acronym TVL?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- Q. What is TVL?

- 1 A. Total value locked.
- 2 | Q. And what does that mean in layman's terms?
- 3 A. Typically that means that if you're the holder of the
- 4 cryptocurrency, you can lock it on-chain in various ways to
- 5 | earn rewards or yield or something else.
- 6 | Q. And going down to line four, what was the -- what was
- 7 | represented as the total value locked?
- 8 A. 9.74 billion.
- 9 Q. Why is that higher than UST's market capitalization of
- 10 | approximately 2.7 billion?
- 11 A. This seems to represent all associated chains within the
- 12 Terra ecosystem.
- MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please move to slide
- 14 eight. Or backing up a little.
- 15 | Q. Did that influence you in any way, the amount of total
- 16 | value locked?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. How so?
- 19 \parallel A. It was a signal of a successful ecosystem in the space.
- 20 | 0. And how so?
- 21 A. 9.74 billion is significant amount of total value locked.
- 22 MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please move to page
- 23 | eight.
- 24 | Q. If you could please read the title.
- 25 A. "Terra is poised to capitalize on the multichain future."

- 1 And if you could please read the line underneath.
- "Terra will be the most connected blockchain, and UST is 2 Α.
- the asset that paves highways that connects all blockchains." 3
- What did you understand this to mean, sir? 4 Q.
- That there was an undergoing initiative to connect the Luna 5 6 ecosystem with other major blockchains.

And did that influence you?

8 Α. Somewhat.

- 9 0. And why is that?
- 10 By providing access to the Luna ecosystem from other major
- 11 chains, you could ostensibly increase activity on the Luna
- 12 blockchain itself, thus driving up TVL in value.
- 13 And what was TVL again? 0.
- 14 Total value locked. Α.
- MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please move to slide 15 16 ten.
- 17 And, sir, if you could please read the title here.
- "A bet on Luna is a bet on the success of the Terra 18
- 19 economy."
- 20 And underneath, could you read that line?
- "Luna captures value through." 21 Α.
- 22 And then if you could please read the highlighted text
- 23 there.
- 24 "Given that the supply of Luna is noninflationary, this
- 25 arbitrage mechanism keeps UST on peg and causes Luna to become

- 1 more scarce as the demand for UST increases."
- 2 | Q. What did you understand this to mean?
- 3 A. At a high level, there is an arbitrage system set up
- 4 between UST, the stablecoin, and Luna, the call it governance
- 5 token.
- 6 Basically the idea is you could burn Luna to receive
- 7 stablecoin or you could burn stablecoin to receive Luna. And
- 8 | through that mechanism, you could keep it stable.
- 9 Q. What does it mean to burn?
- 10 A. Burn means remove from circulation.
- 11 | Q. Did this influence you?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And why is that?
- 14 A. We believe this is the core product set for the Luna
- 15 | ecosystem.
- 16 | Q. And why did you believe that?
- 17 A. Not only did it have the majority of usage and TVL, but it
- 18 was also where most retail and institutional investors started
- 19 | from to then use for other products in the Luna ecosystem.
- 20 | Q. And here it says "this arbitrage mechanism keeps UST on
- 21 | peg."
- 22 Was it important to you that the UST peg be
- 23 | maintained?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Can you explain why?

- 1
- 2 roughly \$1, and if it was worth significantly less, people

If the -- most holders of UST expected it to be worth

- would lose trust in the protocol and, therefore, not use it. 3
- 4 Alongside obviously losing money if they purchased it at a
- 5 dollar.
- 6 MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please move to the next
- 7 page.

- And if you could please read the "why" here.
- "This reserve will buttress the faith in the peg of UST, 9 Α.
- 10 and allows for more participants to get involved in the Terra
- 11 ecosystem."
- 12 Now, how did you understand this would work?
- 13 My understanding from this slide was that the capital Α.
- 14 raised would then be marked to other potential users and
- investors as further proof that the stability mechanism was 15
- strong and backed by further capital. 16
- 17 Q. Now, did this fundraise undercut your belief that the UST
- 18 peg was stable?
- 19 Α. No.
- 20 0. Why not?
- 21 We believe that any additional capital was a benefit and Α.
- 22 would potentially allow investors globally to feel even more
- 23 faith in the ecosystem.
- 24 Q. Thank you.
- 25 MS. CUELLAR: We can take down this slide,

- 1 Mr. Haywood.
- Q. Now, after receiving this e-mail and reviewing the attachment, what did you do next?
- 4 A. We began to research and due diligence on the investment
- 5 opportunity.
- 6 Q. What does it mean to due diligence?
- 7 A. Research private and public material to decide if we wanted 8 to make an investment.
- 9 Q. So ultimately what material did you analyze in determining whether to make an investment?
- 11 A. From a material perspective, it would have been the deck
 12 that they sent, the marketing deck that we discussed, along
 13 with public information published by Terra and by others.
- 14 | O. And did you talk to any people?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Who did you speak with?
- 17 A. We spoke with Jeff Kuan.
- 18 Q. And who is Jeff Kuan?
- A. We understood him to lead business corporate development for Terra.
- 21 | Q. And what are the things you spoke about with Mr. Jeff Kuan?
- A. We spoke about the need for the fundraise, how it would be used, and also the structure of the investment round and
- 24 potential investment allocation, which is how much there might
- 25 be available to invest.

Revsin - Direct

- 1 Q. What are, if you recall, what are some of the public
- 2 | information you reviewed?
- 3 A. We would look at blog posts, social media, and other
- 4 research reports written online.
- 5 | Q. And did you ever go to the Terraform website?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And what do you recall reviewing there?
- 8 A. I recall reviewing posts by various team members along with
- 9 the website itself.
- 10 | Q. And do you recall what was on the website?
- 11 | A. Not in extreme detail.
- 12 | Q. Now, during this process did you speak to Mr. Do Kwon?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 | Q. Now, during your due diligence process, did you discover
- 15 || whether the UST peg to the dollar ever came under stress?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And how did you learn of this?
- 18 A. By reviewing on-chain activity, we saw that the value of
- 19 UST had dropped significantly below a dollar.
- 20 Q. And did you research that event?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And what did you review in your research?
- 23 | A. I don't remember the exact specifics, but primarily we
- 24 would look at how quickly and how efficiently the peg regained
- 25 | its dollar footing.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

- Q. Now, what did you ultimately determine about how the peg -how UST was restored to \$1?
 - A. Our team --

MR. FERRARA: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: And the objection is?

MR. FERRARA: It's a foundational objection. To the extent it's about his understanding, that's one thing. To the extent this is sort of posed as a determination, that is a different question.

THE COURT: All right. Rephrase.

MS. CUELLAR: Yes, your Honor.

- BY MS. CUELLAR:
- Q. In your research, were you able to form your own opinion on why you thought the UST returned to \$1 in value?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And can you please share what you determined?
- 17 A. Our belief was that the peg returned to a dollar because of the arbitrage mechanism that had been widely marketed.
- Q. Now, during this research process, did you ever convey your opinions in a written document?
- 21 A. Our opinions on this specific return to the peg?
 - Q. No, excuse me. I'll rephrase.
- Did you ever convey your opinion about the investment opportunity in a written document?
- 25 A. Yes, we wrote a memo.

Revsin - Direct

256

- 1 | Q. And why did you do that?
- 2 A. Both for internal documentation reasons and for future
- 3 marketing to our own clients.
- 4 | Q. And did this document reflect yours and Republic's
- 5 understanding of the investment opportunity?
- 6 | A. Yes.

- Q. And what was the memo based on?
- 8 A. The memo based was on my research and my team's research in
- 9 the investment opportunity.
- 10 | Q. And specifically what research?
- 11 A. The marketing deck, the conversation with Jeff Kuan, and
- 12 on-chain activity review, and publicly created information.
- 13 MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please display,
- 14 | Mr. Haywood, for the witness Plaintiff's Exhibit 27b.
- Do you recognize this exhibit?
- 16 | A. I do.
- 17 | Q. And what is it?
- 18 A. This is our investment memo.
- 19 | Q. And was it Republic's standard practice to prepare an
- 20 | investment memo in a situation like this?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And was this particular investment memo prepared at the
- 23 | time of the Luna Foundation Guard offering?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And was it maintained in the course of Republic's standard

- 1 | business?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And is this a complete and accurate copy of Plaintiff's
- 4 Exhibit 27b?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- MS. CUELLAR: Your Honor, at this time we move to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 27b.
- 8 MR. FERRARA: Without objection.
- 9 THE COURT: Received.
- 10 MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please display it.
- 11 | (Plaintiff's Exhibit 27b received in evidence)
- 12 Q. Now, again, Mr. Revsin, who did you share this investment
- memo with?
- 14 A. This would have been shared internally and also with our
- 15 clients that we thought might have an interest in investing in
- 16 Terra alongside us.
- Q. And if you can, can you please read the first two sentences
- 18 of this overview paragraph?
- 19 A. "Terra is the leader in decentralized stablecoins. Terra's
- 20 | largest stablecoin, UST, has a market cap of 2.7 billion and is
- 21 | the fifth largest stablecoin by market capitalization.
- 22 | Currently, Terra is the fourth largest network by total value
- 23 | locked, TVL. Terra, the Luna token specifically, first entered
- 24 | the market in January 2018."
- 25 | Q. Where did you get this information from?

3

4

5

6

7

11

24

25

Revsin - Direct

- 1 This looks like it was primarily sourced from the marketing materials provided to us. 2
 - Provided to you by who?
 - By Do Kwon through the e-mail from Arjun. Α.
 - MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please move to the final paragraph on this page.
 - Can you please read this?
- "Since it is not collateralized, its tokenomics are highly 8 reflexive, whereby broad adoption of Terra's DApps translates 9 10 to demand for UST, which leads to supply reduction of Luna, which ultimately drives up the price of LUNA."
- 12 Q. What is DApps?
- 13 Α. Decentralized applications.

move up as demand moves up.

- 14 And what are those? Q.
- 15 Α. Those are typically applications that are built on top of a 16 protocol like Terra.
- 17 And can you explain in layman's terms what this paragraph 18 means?
- 19 Essentially it's describing the mechanism by which our 20 investment in Luna would be successful, meaning the price of 21 Luna would go up because of the way the arbitrage system works. 22 The more stablecoin there is, the less Luna there is. And if 23 there is less supply of something, typically the price will
 - And was this important to your investment decision?

1

- A. Yes.
- MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please go to page two.
- 3 And focusing on raise details.
- 4 | Q. Can you please read "notable lead and coinvestors?"
- 5 A. "Jump capital, 300 million, Binance, 300 million, 3AC."
 - Q. How did this influence you?
- 7 A. These were notable investors in the space at the time.
- 8 Q. Does that have any impact on you?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | O. How so?
- 11 A. In a number of ways, but primarily the expectation would be
- 12 | that these investors also conducted due diligence and decided
- 13 to invest, and there were a number of smart people working at
- 14 | these companies.
- 15 | Q. Now, if you could please read "reason for funding."
- 16 A. "To raise capital for the formation of a
- 17 | protocol-controlled reserve in order to ensure faith in the peg
- 18 | of UST and have liquidity for the peq."
- 19 Q. Now, this reason for funding, did this cause you to
- 20 question UST's stability?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 | Q. And why not?
- 23 | A. We believed that any additional liquidity would only act to
- 24 | bring more investors into the protocol because there would be
- 25 more faith in the ecosystem.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

- MS. CUELLAR: If we could please move to page three.
- Q. There are a number of categories listed here. What do these categories represent?
 - A. Various things that we would review as part of our due diligence.
 - Q. And focusing on partnerships under paragraph two -- well, first of all, why did you focus on partnerships?
 - A. In the space, especially fairly early on, partnerships with other organizations or protocols or applications would drive usage for the underlying protocol.
- 11 Q. Is usage important?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. How so?
- A. A protocol like UST or Luna that has usage typically
 generates more activity on-chain, more fees, more TVL. Which,
 again, through the various mechanisms could drive up the value
 of an investment in the underlying token.
 - Q. And again, what is TVL?
- 19 A. Total value locked.
- 20 | Q. And if you can, can you please read this paragraph?
- A. "Terra launched with and has the support of the Terra alliance, which consists of 15 large E-commerce companies in Asia that collectively process 25 billion USD in annual
- 24 | transaction volume and has 45 million users."
 - Q. And if you could please continue reading.

- 1 A. "It already has robust integrations with many big players
- 2 | in DeFi, NFTs, E-commerce and a myriad of other protocols.
- 3 Anchor, Mirror, Cosmos, Tendermint, SDK, Pylon, Chai, Nebula."
- 4 Q. I see here Chai is listed. What did you understand Chai to
- 5 be?
- 6 A. We understood it to be a payments platform that Do Kwon had
- 7 | founded in South Korea and had leveraged the Luna ecosystem.
- 8 Q. And were you aware of whether Chai had a connection to
- 9 | Terraform?
- 10 | A. We believed that it did.
- 11 Q. How so?
- 12 A. Our understanding was that it was one of the first major
- 13 applications that was built leveraging the Luna ecosystem.
- 14 | Q. And how did Chai leverage the Luna ecosystem?
- 15 | A. Our understanding is that Luna would facilitate
- 16 settlement -- I'm sorry. Terra would facilitate settlement of
- 17 | the payments platform on-chain.
- 18 Q. And where did you learn this information?
- 19 | A. I believe that this particular piece may have been on the
- 20 deck or the Terra website. But it was also widely discussed
- 21 | online.
- 22 | Q. And if Chai did not in fact use the Terra blockchain to
- 23 | settle transactions, is that something you would have wanted to
- 24 | know?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. Why?
- 2 A. For two reasons. One, it would have felt like a
- 3 misrepresentation from public comments that had been made.
- 4 And, two, it would have potentially driven less activity onto
- 5 the Luna blockchain.
- 6 Q. And what is the impact of less activity?
- 7 A. Less activity means less interest in the protocol. And,
- 8 | ultimately for an investor, less interest in buying the token
- 9 and increasing the price.
- 10 MS. CUELLAR: Now, if we could go to page four, down
- 11 | to paragraph seven and token use and structure. And if we
- 12 could broaden that to cover the whole paragraph.
- 13 | Q. What are you analyzing here?
- 14 A. It looks like we are analyzing the stablecoin and the
- 15 | arbitrage ecosystem.
- 16 | Q. And why were you analyzing it?
- 17 | A. We wanted to understand how it worked.
- 18 | Q. Was it important to you to understand how it worked?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Why?
- 21 | A. It would give us confidence in the stability of the
- 22 | mechanism and other people's view of the stability of the
- 23 | mechanism.
- 24 | Q. And did you believe in the stability of the mechanism?
- 25 A. Yes.

Revsin - Direct O3QASEC1

- 1 Q. Why?
- 2 Among other reasons, that it had maintained its peg. Α.
- when it had seemed to lose some of the peg, the ecosystem and 3
- the arbitrage mechanism had seemed to regain the peg pretty 4
- 5 quickly. So we saw it as a stress test.
- 6 And you can take down the exhibit, Mr. Haywood.
- 7 Now, ultimately, after conducting the due diligence
- 8 and writing this memo, did Republic decide to invest?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 And why did Republic decide to invest?
- 11 We believed it was a good investment opportunity for
- 12 ourselves and our clients.
- 13 0. Why did you believe that?
- 14 Α. We believed that the investment would appreciate in value.
- 15 Q. And why did you believe it would appreciate in value?
- 16 We saw the ecosystem as an emerging player in the space and
- 17 we believed that there was further opportunity for it to grow.
- 18 Now, were you involved in the process to finalize the deal?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 And who did -- or did you and Republic employees interact
- 21 with anyone to finalize the deal?
- 22 Α. I think we interacted with the legal team.
- 23 Whose legal team? 0.
- 24 Α. Terraform Labs and associated companies.
- 25 MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please display Exhibit

O3QASEC1 Revsin - Direct

- 1 29 for the witness.
- 2 | Q. Do you recognize this exhibit?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 \mathbb{Q} . And what is it?
- 5 A. This seems to be an e-mail generated by DocuSign, which is
- 6 a tool used for signing contracts and it also has a note from
- 7 | Marc Goldich.
- 8 | Q. And who did you understand Marc Goldich to be?
- 9 A. One of the senior counsel or the general counsel for 10 Terraform.
- MS. CUELLAR: Your Honor, the parties have stipulated to the admission of this exhibit. So at this time, we move to
- 14 THE COURT: Received.

admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 29.

- MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please display it.
- 16 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 29 received in evidence)
- 17 | Q. And, sir, what date was this sent?
- 18 A. January 25, 2022.
- 19 | Q. And who was it sent to?
- 20 | A. Me.

- 21 | Q. And what was the subject here beginning with "the Luna
- 22 | Foundation Guard?"
- 23 | A. "The Luna Foundation Guard, OTC token sale agreement,
- 24 | confidential."
- 25 | Q. What does OTC stand for?

O3QASEC1

Revsin - Direct

265

1 A. Over the counter.

- Q. And was there an attachment to this e-mail?
- 3 | A. Yes.

- 4 | Q. And what was the attachment?
- 5 A. The OTC token sale agreement.
- Q. Looking now at towards the bottom of the exhibit, whose
- 7 | name is listed there and whose e-mail?
- 8 A. Marc Goldich, Marc's e-mail.
- 9 Q. And is his e-mail Marc@Terra.money?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And if you could please read the paragraph after "good
- 12 afternoon?"
- 13 A. "As you are aware, today is the day we move forward with
- 14 | the Luna Foundation Guard token sale. We are very pleased to
- 15 have you participate in this momentous event for the Terra
- 16 | ecosystem. Attached you will find the final token sale
- 17 | agreement, the TSA. Please finalize your purchase amount and
- 18 | execute the document by Monday, January 24."
- 19 | Q. And what is a token sale agreement?
- 20 A. It's an agreement to purchase tokens or sell tokens.
- 21 MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please move to page two.
- 22 | And if we could focus on the paragraph beginning with "the
- 23 | final token price."
- 24 | Q. If you could please read that paragraph, sir.
- 25 A. "The final token price is USD \$30.95, which represents a

O3QASEC1

Revsin - Direct

- 40 percent discount of the relevant price, as defined by the 1
- 2 TSA. Please execute the TSA at your earliest convenience
- 3 today."
- 4 Q. What does that mean, a 40 percent discount of the relevant
- 5 price?
- A. It means that this would have been the calculated 6
- 7 40 percent discount based on the structure, the TWAP that we
- discussed earlier, which is typically I believe for this was 30 8
- days average trading price. 9
- 10 Q. Does that mean that Republic was not paying -- or not
- 11 paying market prices?
- 12 Α. Correct.
- 13 MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please display for the
- 14 witness Plaintiff's Exhibit 32.
- 15 Q. Do you recognize this exhibit, sir?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 And what is it? Ο.
- 18 This is the token sale agreement used for the sale of Luna
- 19 tokens.
- 20 MS. CUELLAR: And, your Honor, the parties have
- 21 stipulated to the admissibility of this document. So at this
- 22 time, we move to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 32.
- 23 THE COURT: Received.
- 24 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 32 received in evidence)
- 25 MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please display, and

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 moving to page two.

- Q. In focusing towards the top of the document here, what was the date of this agreement?
 - A. Twenty-first of January, 2022.
 - Q. And who was the vendor?
 - A. Luna Foundation Guard, LTD.
 - Q. And who did you understand the Luna Foundation Guard to be?
 - A. An affiliate or subsidiary of Terraform.
 - MS. CUELLAR: And if we can now move to the two paragraphs underneath. Beginning with "in connection with, the intended distribution." And also the paragraph underneath, please.
 - Q. If you could please read the second paragraph there, the establishment of UST reserve?
 - A. "The establishment of UST reserve, UST reserve establishment, is a nonprofit initiative of the vendor to provide a further layer support to maintain the UST's peg to USD, USD peg. It is contemplated that in an event where the market price of UST deviates from the USD peg, holders of UST in the community will be able to close the arbitrage and bring the market price of UST back to the USD peg."
 - Q. And, again, did this the fact that this was the intended use, did this undermine your belief in the UST stability mechanism?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. And why not?
- 2 A. We believed that the establishment of a further reserve
- 3 | would add more faith and confidence in the protocol among those
- 4 | that didn't understand or have interest in algorithmic
- 5 | stablecoins.
- 6 MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please move to page 20
- 7 | in the document. And focusing on the top two boxes there.
- 8 Q. Who is the buyer?
- 9 A. RCapital Terranova.
- 10 | Q. What was RCapital Terranova?
- 11 A. It was an investment vehicle that we structured at Republic
- 12 | Capital to make this investment.
- 13 | Q. And under buyer tokens, what is represented here?
- 14 A. It's basically writing the formula for how many tokens we
- 15 | would be purchasing.
- 16 Q. And in the next box, what is that purchase consideration?
- 17 What is the number there?
- 18 A. That's the quantum of capital that we would pay to purchase
- 19 | those tokens.
- 20 Q. And if you could please read how much Republic invested.
- 21 | A. 35.9 million.
- 22 | Q. And under settlement digital asset, right underneath, it
- 23 | lists BTC. What is BTC?
- 24 A. Bitcoin.
- Q. What is USDT?

- 1 A. The Tether stablecoin.
- 2 | O. What is USDC?
- 3 A. The Circle created stablecoin.
- 4 | Q. And what is BNB?
- 5 A. BNB is a Binance token.
- 6 Q. What does it mean to be a settlement digital asset?
- 7 A. They're basically saying you could purchase their token 8 with any of these four digital assets.
- 9 Q. And if we could go down to settlement date. What was the settlement date, sir?
- 11 | A. January 26th, 2022.
- 12 Q. Now, if we could focus on seven, buyer tokens unlock date,
- which also goes to the next page. If you could please read
- 14 that.
- 15 A. "Buyer tokens unlock date, subject to wallet setup, as
- defined herein, the vendor shall deliver the buyer tokens to
- 17 | the buyer tokens' receiving address in accordance with clause
- 18 | 2.1, which shall remain locked and cannot be transferred by the
- 19 buyer to another address unless otherwise unlocked based on the
- 20 | following token unlock schedule, buyer tokens unlock schedule."
- 21 Q. What does it mean they had to remain locked?
- 22 A. It means that they were nontransferable so you couldn't
- 23 sell them.
- MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please go down now to
- 25 | the buyer tokens unlock schedule.

2

6

9

- Q. So under these, when was Republic to take delivery -- excuse me. Let me rephrase.
- When was the first tranche of tokens to be unlocked?
- A. On the first anniversary of January 26, 2022. So it looks like one year.
 - Q. And once it was unlocked, what could Republic do?
- A. We could move the tokens to another wallet, we could use them within the ecosystem, or we could sell them.
 - Q. And for tranches two, three, and four, would it follow then every year, one-fourth of the amount would become unlocked?
- 11 A. That's right.
- MS. CUELLAR: And we can take down the exhibit.
- Excuse me. If we could go back. Apologies. And display page 28.
- 15 | Q. And focusing on buyer, who signed for the buyer, sir?
- 16 A. Boris Revsin, myself.
- 17 | Q. And who signed for the vendor?
- 18 A. Do Kwon.
- 19 Q. And what date did Do Kwon sign?
- 20 A. January 25th, 2022.
- 21 MS. CUELLAR: And we can now take that down.
- 22 | Q. Now, based on your interactions with Terraform, including
- 23 | Jeff Kuan and the general counsels, who did you understand ran
- 24 | the Luna Foundation Guard?
- 25 A. Do Kwon and his team.

Revsin - Direct

- Now, did Republic Capital ultimately transfer the 1 2 approximate \$35.9 million?
 - Α. Yes.

3

4

5

8

16

17

18

19

- And who did Republic work with to transfer the funds? Q.
- The legal team that we just discussed.
- 6 MS. CUELLAR: And if we could please display 7 Plaintiff's Exhibit 31 for the witness.
 - Do you recognize this exhibit, sir?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 Ο. And what is it?
- 11 It looks like it is a set of e-mails confirming, prepping, 12 and then confirming the transactions that came from one of my 13 team members.
- 14 MS. CUELLAR: Your Honor, at this time we move to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 31. 15
 - MR. FERRARA: May I have one moment, your Honor. I apologize. May I consult briefly with SEC counsel, your Honor? THE COURT: Yeah.
 - MR. FERRARA: Thank you, your Honor. Subject to my conversation with counsel, we have no objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Received.
- 22 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 31 received in evidence)
- 23 MS. CUELLAR: Thank you, your Honor.
- 24 If we could please display the exhibit and move to 25 page three. It is quite small so if we could please zoom in.

- 1 | Q. Now, sir, could you please read your first e-mail?
- 2 A. "Terra team: Republic Capital is prepared to fund the
- 3 | 25 million portion of our 35.9 million commitment in USDC.
- 4 What would be the appropriate next step? Thank you."
- 5 | Q. And then a Leo Batali next e-mails. Who is he?
- A. He was on the operations and investor relations team at
- 7 Republic Capital.
- 8 | Q. And what is he asking for here?
- 9 A. He's confirming the wallet address for Republic Capital to send the USDC.
- 11 | Q. And just what is a wallet address?
- 12 A. Similar to a bank account. It's a unique ID that would be
- 13 used for us to send capital, or in this case, USDC.
- 14 | Q. Thank you. And if we could now focus on the response just
- 15 | above. Who responds to Mr. Batali?
- 16 A. Marc Goldich.
- 17 | Q. And who is Marc Goldich?
- 18 A. He was the general counsel for Terraform Labs.
- 19 | Q. And for the record, is that actually in his signature
- 20 | block?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And what does Marc Goldich provide?
- 23 | A. He provides the address for us to send the stablecoin USDC.
- 24 | Q. And if we could now turn to page two. And focusing on the
- 25 | bottom, what is Mr. Batali asking Marc Goldich for here?

Revsin - Direct

- 1 He's looking for a confirmation that the test transaction we sent was received. 2
- 3 Why is he doing that?
- To ensure that the stablecoin went to the right wallet 4 Α. 5 address.
- And if we can now focus on the next two responses above. 6

7 And how does Marc Goldich respond there at the bottom?

- Would you mind zooming in to the piece that you would like me to read?
- 10 MS. CUELLAR: Mr. Haywood, it's at the very bottom.
- 11 No.

8

- 12 I do see "receipt confirmed, thanks," if that's the piece.
- 13 And was that sent January 26th at 2010? 0. Yes.
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 Q. Then above, Leo Batali responds again, and what does he 16 say?
- 17 MS. CUELLAR: No, Mr. Haywood, it's further down the 18 page.
- 19 I think it starts with Marc --
- 20 0. 4:00 p.m.
- 21 Yep. A bit hard to read but is says, "Marc, amazing, I'm
- 22 glad to hear. We have sent the remaining 24,999,990 to the
- 23 same wallet address, for which I've included the corresponding
- 24 ether scan link below."
- 25 And how does Marc Goldich respond?

Revsin - Direct

"Receipt confirmed." 1 Α.

Case 1:23-cv-01346-JSR

- 2 MS. CUELLAR: Thank you. We can take down the exhibit. 3
 - Now, in your testimony you previously mentioned that you Q. and your team analyzed the circumstances around UST's brief depeg; is that correct?
 - Α. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And what did you understand happened during that brief depeq?
- 10 MR. FERRARA: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 11 THE COURT: I'll allow it. You may answer.
- 12 What we understood to happen is that the arbitrage 13 mechanism between UST and Luna allowed the community to restore 14 the peg.
 - Q. And how did you obtain this understanding?
 - From monitoring on-chain data and from what was said about the events online and in social media.
 - Q. Were you aware whether a third party had stepped in and purchased significant amounts of UST to stabilize the price?
 - MR. KORNBLAU: Objection. Leading.
 - THE COURT: We've been through this before. you're putting a question to establish a negative, leading is permissible. Overruled. Overruled.
- 24 MR. FERRARA: I have a different objection, your 25 Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

Case 1:23-cv-01346-JSR

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

MR. FERRARA: I think we'll agree third parties were involved in this, so I just wanted to flag. I'm happy to discuss it at side bar.

THE COURT: No, I don't think we need a side bar.

Revsin - Direct

Go ahead. You may answer the question.

Do you want to put the question again.

MS. CUELLAR: Yes, please your Honor.

- Q. Were you aware whether a third party had stepped in and purchased significant amounts of UST to stabilize its price?
- 11 Α. No.
- 12 Would you have wanted to know this information prior to 13 investing?
- 14 Α. Yes.
 - Can you please explain why. Q.
- The typical way that the arbitrage mechanism should work is 16 17 that many people or institutions would come in and restabilize 18 the peg. It is not supposed to be -- or our understanding was 19 that it was not supposed to be one major institution that would
- 20 come in and backstop the peq.
- 21 Q. And would this information have called into question the 22 stability of UST for you?
- 23 To some degree, yes. Α.
- 24 Ο. And why is that?
- 25 Because our understanding was that there was a community Α.

- and -- a community of users around the ecosystem that would
- 2 restabilize the peg and that it did not rely on any one large
- 3 | institution.
- 4 | Q. And, ultimately, what happened to the price of UST in May
- 5 of 2022?
- 6 A. The price of UST depegged massively from the dollar target.
- 7 | Q. And what happened to the price of Luna?
- 8 A. Luna also dropped precipitously in price.
 - Q. And what happened to Republic Capital's \$35.9 million?
- 10 A. The majority of the investment was lost.
- MS. CUELLAR: Thank you. No further questions, your
- 12 Honor.

- 13 THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination.
- MR. FERRARA: Thank you, your Honor.
- 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. FERRARA:
- 17 | Q. Good morning, sir.
- 18 A. Good morning.
- 19 | Q. We've never met before, right?
- 20 | A. We shook hands yesterday.
- 21 Q. That's true, yes, we did. Thank you for reminding me. We
- 22 shook hands yesterday.
- 23 Did we talk about the case at all?
- 24 A. We did not.
- 25 | Q. You've met with the SEC's counsel several times to talk

- 1 | about the case; is that right?
- 2 A. Over Zoom.
- 3 Q. Good. About how many times?
- 4 A. Several.
- 5 Q. You discussed the substance of your testimony with them,
- 6 | right?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. I want to start a little by going over a little bit of your
- 9 background and experience. Okay?
- 10 So you began college at UMass Amherst, right?
- I think you have to say yes or no for the court
- 12 reporter.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Thank you. And you left college early to found your first
- 15 company, right?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And then you founded a second company after that, which is
- 18 | Game Theory Group, right?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 | Q. Oh, I'm sorry. Please correct me.
- 21 What was the order of the founding?
- 22 A. The first company was Campus Live. The second company was
- a company now known as HQO. The third company was Game Theory
- 24 Group.
- 25 | Q. Thank you. And was that in June 2017 that you founded Game

1	Theory?
---	---------

5

6

8

9

- A. About that time.
- 3 Q. Fair enough. So you were, what, around 19 years old when
- 4 you started that company?
 - A. I'm 37. So I was a bit older. I know I look young.
 - Q. Game Theory was focused on researching blockchain
- 7 | technology; is that right?
 - A. And investing, yes.
 - MR. FERRARA: I'm sorry.
- 10 | THE COURT: I'm sorry, yes. What's going on here?
- 11 MR. FERRARA: I think a juror --
- 12 | THE COURT: Yes. Ms. Juror, what's going on?
- JUROR: Can I get some water?
- 14 MR. FERRARA: Asking for water.
- 15 | THE COURT: That's fine, but you cannot just leave the
- 16 | jury box without permission.
- 17 JUROR: Sorry.
- 18 | THE COURT: I'll tell you what, we were going to take
- 19 | in 15 minutes our mid-morning break, why don't we take it now.
- 20 | Mid-morning break.
- 21 MR. FERRARA: Fair enough, your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: You may step down. We'll see you in 15
- 23 | minutes.
- 24 (Continued on next page)

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: Please be seated. My law clerk will hand to you my rulings on the objections to portions of the deposition of Paul Kim.

Now, there was something that counsel wanted to raise about video.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Yes, your Honor. Louis Pellegrino. This pertains to an Exhibit 258a and b.

When Mr. Connor raised it a moment ago, he tried to move in an exhibit known as D1509, which is the defendants' exhibit. I think what he should have done is explain that they have the same exhibit on their exhibit sheet. Now, I want to show the Court --

THE COURT: So the pretrial consent order, if I understand what you're saying, represented that both parties were going to offer this exhibit?

MR. PELLEGRINO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: No?

MR. PELLEGRINO: No. We listed this exhibit on our exhibit list for a specific purpose. It's a Kanav related exhibit. If Mr. Kanav were to be here at some point during the trial, we would offer it to cross-examine him on it, and that's the reason we put it on our list. However, they listed it on their list and we did object to it for multiple reasons.

So if they're introducing it in their case in chief,

they should be introducing exhibit --

THE COURT: Let me just look at the pretrial consent order.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Sure.

THE COURT: Their number is what?

MR. PELLEGRINO: It's 258a and b, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're objecting first on 403, meaning that you think the prejudice substantially outweighs the probative value. Then, as you so often do, you object on the rule of completeness without indicating what needs to be included to make it complete. This, by the way, is a perennial problem with the objections you have made in the depositions that I've been slogging through. There is no point to object on incompleteness if you're not prepared to state what has to be added to make it complete.

MR. PELLEGRINO: I am prepared to state that.

THE COURT: Well, fine. That should be stated in the pretrial order and it should be stated in your deposition objection so that I don't have to waste, as I've had to, immense amount of my time.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Understood, your Honor. I was in court yesterday when I heard your Honor's explanation of Rule 106. And in this instance, we believe it's a clear of 106, and I can explain that, your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. PELLEGRINO: And then also 901, which is where I would start with our analysis, actually.

THE COURT: Okay. Authentication.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Correct, your Honor. Authentication.

THE COURT: So and then just where you have it on your list?

MR. PELLEGRINO: It's D1509, your Honor.

THE COURT: So, which is labeled screen shot of the video taken of a conversation between Do Kwon and Kanav Kariya to which the SEC has no objection.

So where do you indicate -- aside from the fact that cross exhibits for cross-examination don't have to be listed, period. So what needs to be listed is the exhibits you're introducing on your direct case, and now you're telling me you're not introducing it on your direct case, you're introducing solely for cross-examination.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Your Honor, it's really going to depend what your Honor's ruling is with regard to Mr. Kanav. So it was conditionally listed, which we think is all right. We don't have to offer it. As the Court knows, the exhibit list is often times much larger than what's admitted into the Court.

THE COURT: No, but that's overstating it. Because if a party lists an exhibit, it is attesting in effect that it's authentic. Therefore, I don't really see how a party can say

that they have preserved their objection to the very same exhibit on grounds of authenticity, when they have represented to the Court that they're prepared to offer it.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Well, your Honor, the particular witness would still have to weigh a foundation. I don't think that makes it automatically authentic. It would depend on whether Mr. Kanav was going be here or not.

THE COURT: I very much disagree with your view of the pretrial consent order. But in any event, since you apparently were operating under a misimpression, I will not treat it as a waiver.

So, now, talking about the objections you raised to their exhibit. What is it you wanted me to look at?

MR. PELLEGRINO: Your Honor, this is part of a larger video that we can just put up on the screen as 258b. Your Honor could observe it, and then I could explain what this is. Because it's a subset of a video.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Can someone play that, please.

(Video played)

MR. PELLEGRINO: I think that's sufficient.

THE COURT: This looks like a message, a text message.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Okay. So what's happening here, your Honor, we cut the sound because this is apparently, apparently, Mr. Kanav scrolling through his own phone and looking at signal

conversations between himself and Do Kwon. We believe from what we understand he's doing that at the request of his attorney, which is why we cut the sound. We can listen to that later if we need to. And it's a long string. It goes on for three minutes.

Now, the exhibit you looked at that is an excerpt of this larger exhibit, is a series of conversations — what it shows is five phone calls between Mr. Kwon and Mr. Kanav on May 23rd.

THE COURT: May 23rd?

MR. PELLEGRINO: 2021, your Honor. That would be the day of the depeg. Now, the 106 objection is well-founded here, your Honor, because if you were to scroll through this conversation, you would see they talk to each other all the time. All the time. They talked about dogs, automobiles, all sorts of things. And there's also a reflection --

THE COURT: I'm not sure why that gives you 106 objection.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Because the --

THE COURT: So let's say, in my hypothetical we sort of went through this yesterday. I'm scrolling with you, and I say, you know, how's the weather, what's new, how's your mother, oh, by the way, did you trade on that inside information that I gave you yesterday; the rule of completeness would not mean that we have to get into or add all the stuff

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

about your mother and so forth.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Okay. Let's take your Honor's hypothetical and suppose that we had a secret agreement, and the allegation was on a particular day we discussed that secret agreement, and the phone records reflected that we spoke five The rule of completeness suggests that there were phone times. calls that happened the day before or two days before, and the jury should be told that there were many phone calls between the parties, and you should not assume that because there was five calls on May 23rd, that's the only time that they spoke. They were in frequent communication with each other --

THE COURT: That sounds like cross-examination, but not completeness.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Well, but the problem is, your Honor, is there Mr. Kanav is taking the 5th and that goes to our authenticity and our 403 objections. There is no person to authenticate or introduce this document. They want to introduce it on a free-standing basis.

With regard to the 403, your Honor, we're not sure how that's going to turn out in terms of your Honor's ruling, but if you give the jury an adverse inference or any -- if there's a stipulation and they hear that Mr. Kanav is taking the 5th, they're not going to understand why they did that but they are going to see somebody scrolling through the phone or holding the phone in this one still image, and they're not going to

appreciate where that image came from. They're not going to know is Mr. Kanav in custody, or police officers recording his Signal chats on his phone, because there's no context for who is taking the video. And there's nobody in this case who can explain that.

Now, the SEC, because we've had some discussions about --

THE COURT: Where is the prejudice?

MR. PELLEGRINO: The prejudice is --

THE COURT: So, I mean, the parties may want to stipulate it was an attorney who was directing him to do this. But assuming, even assuming they don't, if the message was sent and it was received and it's there for everyone to see, I don't see the prejudice.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Well, first of all, your Honor, the parties did attempt to stipulate. Without getting into detail, the stipulation would have allowed us to show that there were other phone calls in addition to the phone calls on this day, for balance, and we think that's a fair 106 application.

But with regard to the 403 argument, again, because Mr. Kanav is taking the 5th, the jury is not going to understand. They may think he's in custody and that the police are actually recording his Signal conversation.

THE COURT: Well, is he available?

MR. PELLEGRINO: No, because he's taking the 5th.

Revsin - Cross

1	THE COURT: No, no. That's not my question. Is he
2	physically available?
3	MR. PELLEGRINO: I mean, yes, he's people can reach
4	him.
5	THE COURT: All right. So instead of stipulation, why
6	don't you call him, and then you can put all the questions you
7	want. And if he takes the 5th you're entitled to argue for an
8	adverse inference.
9	(Continued on next page)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. PELLEGRINO: Well, I mean, I think the issue with that, your Honor, goes to 650 Fifth Avenue, which is that then we would have a witness taking the Fifth repeatedly in response to all of the questions that they have designated they want to ask him and then all of the questions that we would ask him.

THE COURT: Yes. And that has frequently occurred in my court, and it's usually been, frankly, helpful to the defense. So I don't think it's ruled out by any of the case law.

MR. PELLEGRINO: We may have to do it that way, your Honor. So I quess what I would ask is that the Court reserve ruling on it so that we can either talk to them about a potential stip or come back to you and say that that's how we would want to approach it.

THE COURT: Yes. I mean, a stipulation is totally within the prerogative of the parties and not for the Court. If I understand what you're saying, you would like to bring out, through stipulation, that he was in regular telephonic contact and that, on this particular occasion, he was scrolling through at the request of his counsel, something like that. I'm surprised the SEC wouldn't want to stipulate to that, but that's what you wanted, right?

MR. PELLEGRINO: That's correct. There were two other pieces of it that we wanted. That Mr. Kanav had set his Signal settings to disappearing, and Mr. Kanav had suggested that,

from his side of things, he was the one who wanted to use Signal to communicate, not Mr. Kuan. Those are what we would want.

THE COURT: I don't know if that's necessary for the objections you're raising. But I don't think it's a completeness objection at all, but I would encourage, in the interest of fairness, the SEC to stipulate at least to the two things I suggested a minute ago.

MR. PELLEGRINO: OK. We may have to call him. I
think we'll have to discuss, and we'll your Honor know from —
THE COURT: That's fine. Well, that's fine. I assume
you'll let me know fairly soon.

MR. PELLEGRINO: We will, your Honor.

MR. CONNOR: Your Honor, if I could just be heard on that for just two quick points.

We were under the assumption, given it was in the pretrial consent order, that defendants represented this document was admissible in the pretrial consent order, that we could use it, but we're now hearing a different understanding.

THE COURT: I think the fair thing to say is that their notations in the pretrial consent order were ambiguous because, on the one hand, they did raise all sorts of objections, not that I'm necessarily convinced that they're good objections, but they did raise all sorts of objections to your version of it. And then, as I understand it, you raised

no objection to their version of it.

So I think, in fairness to them, even though I think they should have been more careful about that, I don't think there was any waiver of their objections.

MR. CONNOR: And the other point on the authenticity objection is that, given that Mr. Kariya is taking the Fifth and that he produced his records to Jump's counsel, that act of production authenticates the documents, and we have a case to support that, Mitchell v. Quality King Distributors. So we don't think there's any grounds for the authentication objection, and furthermore, we think it's appropriate for the Court or counsel to instruct the jury that this is Mr. Kariya's phone. They represented that on their exhibit list, that it was Mr. Kariya's phone.

THE COURT: Now, that part, I don't see how they could have put it on their list if they didn't think it was an authentic document. Counsel is misleading the Court if it suggests they want to introduce a document that they believe is inauthentic. I can't believe that professionals of the sort I'm dealing with here would engage in such gamesmanship.

MR. CONNOR: And the only other point, your Honor, is not only is it on their list, but it's also in the amended pretrial consent order where the parties agreed and represented what the exhibits are. And both parties said none of these documents are objectionable, and it should come into evidence.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 THE COURT: What about that? 2 MR. CONNOR: It's in the pretrial order. THE COURT: Let me ask defense counsel about that. 3 4 MR. PELLEGRINO: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the point. Could you just restate it, Mr. Connor? 5 6 MR. CONNOR: The exhibit, which I have a copy of right 7 here, is in the pretrial consent order, and both parties stipulated that it's admissible into evidence without any 8 objection. 9 10 MR. PELLEGRINO: I wasn't aware of that, your Honor. 11 I'd have to look at it. Let me see. 12 THE COURT: What page of the pretrial consent order is 13 this? 14 MR. CONNOR: It is page 14 of the pretrial consent 15 order, D-1509 and D-1510. THE COURT: Yeah, there it is. 16 17

MR. PELLEGRINO: Well, again, your Honor, I think I just need to look at it. One moment. I see that.

So, your Honor, it may be a misunderstanding. First of all, there's no attempt to mislead or to introduce to the Court inauthentic documents. We may have done this wrong, but I think our intent was that we want to put notification in to the parties and to the Court that if Mr. Kanav is going to be here, it would be part of the information we would cross him on. So that would be our mistake.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O3QHSec2 Revsin - Cross

THE COURT: Let me just make sure I understand what's If you work out a stipulation, great. If not, your left. objections are, first, completeness. I'm not going to make any final ruling now, but I'm very skeptical about that objection.

Authenticity, which they say could be satisfied through an act of production — they may have to call someone for that purpose, but if they can call someone for that purpose, do you disagree that that would satisfy authenticity?

MR. PELLEGRINO: Well, I haven't had a chance to look at that case because they just cited it to me this morning, but my reaction, from not having looked at it, would be I would question whether it deals with this scenario in which someone else, or perhaps Mr. Kanav, is using another phone or device to record the first device. So I don't know how his attorney —

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Is that the situation? I thought this was his phone.

MR. PELLEGRINO: People say that — I have —

THE COURT: So if I hand you a phone and the messages on the phone are all messages between me and my wife, isn't that enough to satisfy authenticity?

MR. PELLEGRINO: Maybe. But in your scenario, your Honor, your law clerk has to take his phone or you have to borrow someone else's phone or perhaps you have two phones and you have to take one phone and record the second phone. That's what you saw on your screen. Then you're transmitting that to

your lawyer at his direction, and now SEC is suggesting the lawyer can come in and perhaps waive privilege because, as you saw, the video has $-\!\!-\!\!$

THE COURT: I don't think there's any — I think he's waived privilege as to anything he has produced.

MR. PELLEGRINO: OK. Even so, they have to offer a modicum of proof that this is what they say it is, and the lawyer cannot explain this two-video scenario that we're talking about because he wasn't in the room, as far as I know. So he doesn't know how that happened.

THE COURT: I thought you told me that was his voice.

MR. PELLEGRINO: I believe he was talking — I believe Mr. Kariya was talking to someone else who was working at the lawyer's direction. Honestly, we don't have the details, and that's part of our authenticity objection, because we don't really know the full story. He's talking to somebody called Max. I don't know who that is. I understand it's not the lawyer, but he was doing this elaborate recording thing at the direction of his lawyer.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I remain skeptical that your objections can't be overcome, but I'm not making any ruling now.

So let's bring the jury in and continue with the witness.

(Continued on next page)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

3 We need to get the witness back on the stand, please.

We need to get the witness back on the stand, please.

You can, by the way, ladies and gentlemen, if at any time a juror has some reason they need to leave, just raise your hand, and we'll deal with it.

Go ahead, counsel.

MR. FERRARA: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. FERRARA:

- Q. So I think where we had left off, we were talking about
- 12 | your company Game Theory?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. So Game Theory was focused on researching blockchain
- 15 | technology, right?
- 16 A. And investing.
- 17 | Q. Right, investing in that technology, too, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So when you were at Game Theory, you were well versed in
- 20 | those technologies, correct?
- 21 A. I was still educating myself.
- 22 | Q. Part of your job was researching the different technologies
- 23 | to see which ones had promise and which ones didn't, right?
- 24 A. Part of it, yes.
- 25 | Q. Because you wanted to invest in the promising ones and

avoid the ones that you didn't think would make money, right? 1

- Α. Sometimes.
- Then Game Theory, I'll call it, merged with Republic 3
- Is that what you would say, or how would you put it? 4 Capital.
- 5 I would say the principals behind Game Theory Group joined
- Republic. 6

- 7 Republic is a venture capital fund, right?
- 8 Α. No.
- What is it? 9 0.
- 10 Republic is a company that has several products, one of
- 11 which is the cloud fund platform, one of which is a consulting
- 12 business, and then the business that I created within Republic
- 13 was a set of venture capital funds.
- 14 Q. As of 2022, Republic had, what, something like a billion
- 15 dollars under management, is that right?
- 16 Α. Roughly.
- 17 To be clear, "under management" means that Republic had
- 18 about \$1 billion that belonged to investors who invested in the
- 19 fund. Is that fair to say?
- 20 Α. That's right.
- 21 In other words, I guess, to be totally clear, it wasn't
- 22 your \$1 billion, correct?
- 23 Α. Correct.
- 24 Were there rules about who could invest in the venture
- 25 capital portions of Republic?

O3QHSec2 Revsin - Cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. They have to be institutions, for example, right?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 | Q. They could be institutions. They could be, what I'll call,
- 5 | high-net-worth individuals, is that correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. A high-net-worth individual again, I'm going to grossly
- 8 | oversimplify but sort of is someone who is wealthy and meets
- 9 certain criteria for their wealth, is that fair to say?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. So you're investing the money of those institutions and
- 12 | those wealthy people, is that fair?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. You make your money by investing their money, right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. So you don't want to lose their money to a bad investment,
- 17 || right?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. Am I right that between 2019 and 2022, Republic invested in
- 20 | over 30 different crypto opportunities?
- 21 | A. That number sounds about right.
- 22 | Q. You think that one of your advantages as an investor is
- 23 | that you're good at spotting potential upsides of startup
- 24 | companies, is that right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. You're also good at spotting potential downsides of startup companies, fair to say?
 - A. Sometimes.
- Q. That's one of the things you might sort of tell your
 clients in terms of why they should give you their money to
- 6 | invest, right?
- 7 | A. Sure.

- Q. So let's turn to a few sort of questions about what you described as due diligence into crypto investments. OK.
- Due diligence involves you and other people at

 Republic Capital or involved, I should say, when you were

 there, you and other people at Republic Capital reviewing

 materials sent by the crypto venture or about the crypto

 venture, is that fair?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- Q. Sometimes you would speak with employees at the crypto company, right?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- Q. Fair to say you don't just take their word for it, though, right? You do other research, right?
- 21 A. At times.
- 22 | Q. You might review blockchain data, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Blockchain data is publicly available, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. It's unalterable, right?
- 2 A. Typically.
- 3 | Q. And that's one of the benefits of investing in the crypto
- 4 company, right, that you can see this public blockchain, right?
- 5 | A. Yes.

- 6 | Q. The more robust the due diligence, the better your
- 7 | evaluation of the company will be, right?
- 8 A. Sometimes.
- 9 | Q. And you want to make sure you're sort of honoring the trust
- 10 | that your clients put in you by conducting thorough due
- 11 | diligence, right?
- 12 | A. We want to make the best investments possible.
- 13 | Q. And that involves at times well, that involves making
- 14 | sure that you're doing robust research, right?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. You understood you were obligated to do what you could on
- 17 behalf of your investors to identify both potential risks and
- 18 potential rewards associated with any particular venture or
- 19 | investment, right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Today you've now left, of course, Republic. You're at
- 22 | Tribe, which is an even bigger venture capital fund, is that
- 23 || right?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. I think Tribe has over about, what, 1.7 billion assets

O3QHSec2 Revsin - Cross

- 1 | under management, give or take?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So just turning to, say, 2021, 2022, I take it it was your
- 4 practice and the practice of others at Republic to keep up with
- 5 | the financial press?
- 6 A. To some degree. That was not our main job.
- 7 | Q. For instance, you might keep up with what was happening in
- 8 | The Wall Street Journal, is that fair?
- 9 A. That was not the primary thing we read, but sometimes.
- 10 Q. How about Bloomberg?
- 11 A. Sometimes.
- 12 | Q. New York Times business section?
- 13 | A. More more infrequently.
- 14 | Q. I think you also said that you at times conduct due
- 15 | diligence by using by looking at social media, is that
- 16 || right?
- 17 A. Sometimes.
- 18 Q. Including Twitter, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. I think you said you first learned of Terraform in 2020, is
- 21 | that right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Then I think it was November 2021 where you learned about
- 24 an opportunity to purchase Luna tokens, yes?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. The opportunity involved purchasing Luna tokens from an
- 2 | entity called the Luna Foundation Guard, right?
- 3 A. Ultimately, yes.
- 4 | Q. You said that you learned this from this gentleman Arjun
- 5 Sethy who was then the CEO of Tribe Capital?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Just to be totally clear, at that time you were not at
- 8 | Tribe Capital; you were at Republic?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. But you were sort of communicating with this gentleman from
- 11 | Tribe, right?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. You consider Mr. -- am I pronouncing it right, Sethy?
- 14 A. Close enough.
- 15 | Q. Please correct me. I don't want to —
- 16 A. It's close enough.
- 17 | Q. You consider him a mentor?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. The fact that Mr. Sethy and Tribe were sending you this
- 20 opportunity made you feel like it was potentially worthwhile,
- 21 | right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So I think it was November 2021 where Mr. Sethy forwarded
- 24 you the email we looked at. He forwarded you an email from Do
- 25 | Kwon, right?

Α. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

2 So let me show you this. This is Plaintiff's 24A, which is 0. in evidence, which we looked at earlier. 3

And if he could publish this for the jury. It's in evidence, your Honor.

This is the forwarded email, right, from Do Kwon, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And in the email from Do Kwon, do you see he says: "We are looking to raise approximately 1 billion USD worth of wrapped Bitcoin to put into a decentralized reserve smart contract to buttress UST's stability mechanism"?
- Do you see that?
- 14 I do. Α.
- 15 Q. I think there, we don't have to look at it, but there was similar language in the attached slides. Do you remember that? 16
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do Kwon also said that he believed this would assuage 19 lingering worries about the stability of UST's core stability
- 20 mechanism. Do you see that?
- 21 Α. I do.
- 22 Q. So from the initial introduction, your initial introduction
- 23 to this Terra opportunity, you saw that Mr. Kwon was
- 24 referencing stability concerns, right?
- 25 I saw that he was referencing lingering worries.

- 1 Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear.
- 2 A. Roughly.
- 3 Q. I just didn't hear. I'm sorry. I just didn't hear the end
- 4 ∥ of your —
- 5 A. I was just clarifying, yes.
- 6 Q. You saw that he wanted to add support to the stablecoin,
- 7 | correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. That's why Terra was raising capital; in part, was to
- 10 address these concerns, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. You understood that if we look one sort of paragraph
- down, Jump Capital was leading that effort, is that fair?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. You felt good about the fact that Jump Capital was
- 16 | involved, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. You knew of Jump Capital at the time that you received this
- 19 | email, right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. They're a relatively big player in this space, is that
- 22 | fair?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. They're a market maker in crypto, is that right?
- 25 A. They also do that, yes.

- Q. What is a market maker?
- 2 A. A market maker is someone who puts forth maker and taker
- 3 orders on an exchange in order to generate revenue and create
- 4 price discovery.
- 5 | Q. Is it fair to say they provide liquidity?
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. Just to make sure we all understand that, providing
- 8 | liquidity means there's an opportunity for people to buy and
- 9 | sell crypto tokens, is that right?
- 10 A. That is what a market maker does, yes.
- 11 | Q. Now, if we actually let's just take a look quickly at
- 12 | 24B, which is the slides. I just want to show you one other
- 13 | thing.
- 14 If we go to slide 11, Mr. Aquino. Thank you so much.
- I think you saw this earlier, but you see where it
- 16 | says "Why" and it says, "This reserve will buttress the faith
- in the peg of UST"? Do you see that?
- 18 | A. I do.
- 19 | Q. If we flip back one slide, I want to ask you one other
- 20 | question.
- 21 Do you see this discussion here? This is a discussion
- 22 of the arbitrage mechanism. Do you see that?
- 23 | A. I do.
- 24 | Q. And this is, I think, where you were talking about minting
- 25 and burning, right?

O3QHSec2 Revsin - Cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You understood at the time that minting and burning had to
- 3 be done by institutions and people, right?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- Q. In other words, if no one wanted to mint or burn, there
- 6 | would be no value to these tokens, right?
- 7 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that.
- 8 Q. Fair enough.
- 9 If no one wants to buy a thing, it has no value, 10 right?
- 11 A. At that point in time, sure.
- 12 Q. If no one was prepared to buy Luna or Terra, if no one
- wanted to own it, it would have no value, right?
- 14 | A. Fair.
- 15 Q. The algorithm when we talk about mint and burn, the
- 16 | algorithm doesn't do it itself magically, right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. You need people or institutions to come in and do the
- 19 | actual buying and selling, right?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Including an institution like Jump, right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 MR. FERRARA: We could take this down. Thank you.
- 24 | Q. Now, at the time you were considering this, you were
- 25 considering buying and when I say "you," I'll try to do my

- best to say "Republic." I understand you personally did not
 buy \$35 million worth of Luna, right?
 - A. I understand what you're asking.
- 4 | Q. At the time that Republic was considering buying Luna,
- 5 let's call it, 2021, 2022, you understood that UST, the
- 6 stablecoin, could go through something people in the
- 7 cryptocurrency community called a death spiral, right?
- 8 A. I may have been familiar with the term, but I don't recall.
- 9 Q. Are you saying you don't recall whether you were familiar
- 10 | with that risk at the time, or you don't recall what that term
- 11 means today?
- 12 A. I know what the term means today.
- 13 | 0. What does the term mean?
- 14 A. Essentially, it means if both UST and Luna were to
- 15 | depreciate in price, there would be less opportunity for it to
- 16 | regain the peg. I believe that's the definition of a death
- 17 | spiral.
- 18 | Q. To break it down a little bit, if UST started to go down
- 19 too much, people might get scared, start taking their money
- 20 | out, which creates a cycle where more and more people take
- 21 | their money out until it's worth nothing. Is that fair to say?
- 22 A. That would be the death spiral scenario.
- 23 \parallel Q. You had read about that in the press at the time?
- 24 A. I don't remember.
- 25 | Q. In the context of your due diligence, do you recall

3

- 1 publicly available materials discussing a death spiral?
 - A. No, but I do recall doing research in a depeg.
 - Q. Well, we can come back to that.
 - Do you recall in your research on Twitter a
- 5 November 2021 tweet from a user that —
- 6 MS. CUELLAR: Your Honor, objection.
- 7 THE COURT: Yes, I think you can't get into details of
- 8 | that. If you want to show him something to frame his
- 9 | recollection, that's OK, but you can't, by a question, put into
- 10 | evidence or put before the jury something that's otherwise not
- 11 | in evidence. So sustained.
- 12 | Q. Well, sir, you said that Twitter was one of the places you
- 13 | might go for purposes of due diligence, right?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. So let's take a look at what's been marked for
- 16 | identification as Defense 1707.
- 17 Sir, do you recognize this as a November 25, 2021,
- 18 | tweet?
- 19 A. Do you mean is that the date of the tweet?
- 20 | O. Yes.
- 21 A. It seems so, yes.
- 22 \parallel Q. Is this the sort of publicly available information that you
- 23 or someone at Republic would look at when conducting due
- 24 | diligence?
- 25 A. Not really.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Revsin - Cross

- 1 So you would look at Twitter, but you don't think you ever 2 looked at this particular tweet?
 - A. At random tweets, no. We would typically look at what the founders or official affiliates of the protocol would say. We may have seen other tweets, of course, but I don't recognize this one.

MR. FERRARA: We can take that down. Thank you.

Let's go back to Plaintiff's 24B for one moment, that tenth slide.

And we just — I apologize, your Honor, just one moment.

- It's actually a little further, Mr. Aquino. you. It's maybe the third to last — I'm sorry, this is actually the right one. I'm so sorry.
- Q. I'm looking at the header, sir. Do you see the header, "A Bet on Luna is a Bet on the Success of the Terra Economy?"
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. All right. I don't have to tell you that bets are risky, 19 right?
- 20 A. Typically, yes.
- 21 MR. FERRARA: And we can take this down. Thank you, 22 Mr. Aquino.
- 23 Q. Is it fair to say that sometimes entrepreneurs make risky 24 bets in exchange for the possibility of high rewards?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. You recommended to Republic Capital that it participate in the Terraform opportunity, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. In fact, you told Mr. Sethy that you had been looking to get into Terra for a while, right?
- 6 A. Yes.

8

13

14

- Q. So you connect with Jeff Kuan, who's a Terraform employee, right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. I think you told Mr. Kuan that Republic Capital tends to move quickly. Do I have that right?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
 - MR. FERRARA: Let's just put up let's just show what's been marked for identification as Defense Exhibit 1910 just for the witness, and I believe it's the second page.
- Q. Just, sir, for the witness, I'll just calling your attention to your 6:27 a.m. email.
- 18 A. Yes, I see it.
- Q. If you could review that just quickly to yourself. Just let me know when you've had enough time for that.
- 21 A. Yep. Thanks.
- MR. FERRARA: We can take that down, Mr. Aquino.
- 23 | Thank you.
- Q. Sir, does that refresh your memory that you told Jeff Kuan that Republic tends to move quickly?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Then I think it was just two days later, after a call with
- 3 | Jeff Kuan, you said Republic was interested in moving forward
- 4 | with the purchase, right?
- 5 A. Again, I don't recall if that was in that email, but yes.
- 6 Q. And by moving forward with the purchase, you meant that
- 7 Republic Capital would be purchasing Luna, right?
- 8 A. It may have meant that. It may have been confirmatory
- 9 diligence. I'm not sure unless you show me the email.
- 10 Q. Sure. Let's take a look again at 1910, Defendant's 1910,
- 11 | what's been marked.
- 12 A. Got it. Thank you.
- 13 MR. FERRARA: If we could take that down, Mr. Aquino.
- 14 Thank you so much.
- 15 | Q. Sir, does that refresh your memory?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Which was it?
- 18 | A. I'm sorry?
- 19 | Q. Sorry. Was it you were saying you were interested in
- 20 moving forward with the purchase, was that right?
- 21 A. Correct. It looks like we were ready to move forward with
- 22 | a portion of the investment.
- 23 | Q. You were purchasing Luna, of course, in the hopes it would
- 24 | increase in value, fair to say?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. But you understood that it could also decrease in value,
- 2 | right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. You actually, I think, wanted to invest more in Terraform
- 5 | than actually was initially being offered, is that right?
- 6 A. I believe so.
- 7 | Q. You were prepared to purchase 10 million, but you, I think,
- 8 | wanted to purchase 25 million. You wanted your investment to
- 9 | be up to 25 million, right?
- 10 A. That's what that email says, yes.
- 11 | Q. Ultimately, you purchased 35.9 million or Republic
- 12 purchased 35.9 million, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. So, specifically, I think you testified that your due
- 15 | diligence into Terraform included public information published
- 16 | by Terraform and others. Do I have that right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Blog posts?
- 19 A. I would count that under public information, yes.
- 20 Q. We covered social media. Research reports, you said,
- 21 | right?
- 22 | A. Again, that would be covered by publicly available
- 23 | information.
- 24 Q. Well, some of those research reports could be paid. You
- 25 might have to pay for them. You tell me.

- 1 As far as I understand, we didn't do that for this
- 2 particular investment.
- Q. And I think you said Terraform website and posts by his 3
- team members, right? 4
- 5 Α. Yes.
- 6 You did not speak to Do Kwon, right?
- 7 I did not. Α.
- 8 Q. You also, I think, said you examined — on direct you were
- talking about what we've been calling the May 2021 depeq. Do 9
- 10 vou remember that?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 That was when the price of UST went down to around
- 13 80 cents, right?
- That sounds right. 14 Α.
- You testified that you examined May 2021 trading data, 15 Q.
- 16 right?
- 17 Our team would have, yes.
- 18 In your team's review of the on-chain activity, it would
- 19 show the volume of particular traders, right?
- 20 Α. That sounds likely.
- 21 So if a trader had an outsized role in restabilizing the
- 22 peg, you'd see that, right, or you team would?
- 23 Not necessarily. Α.
- 24 They could examine the blockchain, right? 0.
- 25 Correct. But the trader could leverage multiple Α.

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 1 | transactions should they want to.
- Q. Now, part of your due diligence included Terraform's white papers, right?
 - A. Our teams did, yes.
 - Q. Fair enough. Thank you.

So I want to show you one of those white papers.

And if we could take a look at Defense 4 for identification. If we could just pull back out just for a second.

Sir, do you recognize this as a Terraform white paper?

- 11 A. I recognize it as Terraform white paper if that's what you 12 tell me it is.
- Q. Is this sort of thing well, it is called Terra, a price stable well, I won't read it.
- 15 Do you see that the author is @terramoney?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. The date is March 19, 2018, is that right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Are these the sorts of materials from Terraform's website that your team would review as part of its due diligence?
- 21 A. It's likely.
- 22 MR. FERRARA: Your Honor, defense offers 4.
- 23 MS. CUELLAR: Objection, your Honor. The witness —
- 24 | THE COURT: You've seen this before?
- 25 | THE WITNESS: I do not think I've read this before.

O3QHSec2 Revsin - Cross THE COURT: The objection is sustained. MR. FERRARA: Your Honor, may we briefly have a sidebar? THE COURT: Sure. (Continued on next page)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

O3QHSec2 Revsin - Cross

(At sidebar)

MR. FERRARA: Your Honor, we think this is admissible as part of the mix of information that investors would have had when researching, when thinking about investing.

THE COURT: You can call a witness on your case, and maybe you'll be able to put it in, but it doesn't come in through this witness who's never seen it before.

MR. FERRARA: Your Honor, waiting on this leaves the impression that there are certain things that he looked at which did not disclose certain risks, and that was what was out That idea is going to be cemented in the jury's idea, in his response. In fact, there was quite a lot of information which he said was the sort of information Republic looked at -

THE COURT: He said unequivocally — there was no hesitation and there was no ambiguity — that he's never seen it before. That means it doesn't come in through this witness. Sustained.

(Continued on next page)

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

314

(In open court; jurors present)

- BY MR. FERRARA:
- 3 Q. Thank you, sir, and I apologize for the brief delay there.
- 4 My colleague correctly pointed out I think I
- 5 | misspoke and said the depeg went down to around 80 cents. I
- 6 think it was actually in the low 90 cents, so I didn't want to
- 7 | mislead you. Does that sound right to you?
- 8 A. I don't remember the exact number, but it depegged.
- 9 Q. I certainly didn't mean to get that number wrong, and I
- 10 apologize for misspeaking.
- 11 | A. Sure.
- 12 MR. FERRARA: So we can take that exhibit down,
- 13 Mr. Aquino. Thank you.
- 14 | Q. You understood at the time, sir, that crypto companies
- 15 | often issued white papers explaining their products, right?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Generally speaking, in those white papers, the crypto
- 18 company would talk about the idea behind the token, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. They talk about how the coin would work, right?
- 21 A. Often, yes.
- 22 | Q. They sometimes talked about the computer code, yes?
- 23 A. Sometimes.
- 24 | Q. And I just want to be clear. Your testimony is that you
- 25 recommended that Republic invest in this token without reading

- 1 | these white papers, is that right?
- 2 A. I didn't read the white paper. Also, generally, we believe
- 3 | that white papers written four years after a protocol is
- 4 | launched were not of extreme use. Typically, the formulas
- 5 | would change, the code would change, the team would change.
- 6 Q. Would the risk change?
- 7 A. Possibly.
- 8 Q. As part of your due diligence, is it not helpful to
- 9 understand what risks the founders themselves saw in their
- 10 | token?
- 11 A. Of course it is.
- 12 | Q. Sir, just so I understand your testimony, sitting here
- 13 | today, do you know whether a member of your team reviewed the
- 14 | white paper that I just showed you, Defense 4?
- 15 A. It's possible.
- 16 | Q. Do you know, sir?
- 17 A. I don't know.
- 18 | Q. Now, during the diligence process, you drafted a memo that
- 19 we saw regarding the purchase of Luna, right?
- 20 A. Yes, our team drafted a memo.
- 21 | Q. And this is Plaintiff's Exhibit 27A.
- 22 Oh, no, that's the cover email. Let's go to 27B,
- 23 Mr. Aquino. Thank you.
- So if we take a look at sorry, and this is the memo
- 25 | that your team prepared, right?

03QHSec2

Revsin - Cross

- 1 Α. Yes.
- 2 If we take a look at page 2, it says, notable lead and
- co-investors, and one of them is Jump Capital at 300 million, 3
- 4 right?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- 6 And, again, you had more confidence in recommending
- 7 Republic purchase a token because Jump was involved, right?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- In that way, it was good for Terraform to publicize Jump's 9
- 10 involvement, right?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 If we go to the end of this, I think, or maybe it's page 4
- 13 — and I guess, actually, we should show the page right before
- 14 this just so the witness has — thank you so much, Mr. Aquino.
- 15 So at the bottom of this page, sir, you see it says
- No. 2 is partnerships? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And then in the next page, we see one of the — it says if
- 19 we highlight the very top — talks about DeFi, NFTs,
- 20 e-commerce, and a myriad of other products, and it mentions
- 21 Chai there, right?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- Is Chai mentioned anywhere else in this document? 23 0.
- 24 Α. I'm not sure.
- 25 Let's go to page 1, under highlights.

- 1 Chai's involvement is not mentioned in the highlights, 2 is that right?
 - Α. No.

12

18

- 4 Now, ordinarily — we can take this down, Mr. Aquino — Q. 5 ordinarily, you would consider whether a service was growing 6 when deciding to invest in it, right?
- 7 You mean a protocol or a product?
- 8 Q. Right.
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 If it was slowing and people weren't using it as 11 frequently, if its rate of use was slowing down, that might be

one factor or one reason not to invest, is that fair?

- 13 That's fair. Α.
- 14 Did you assess Chai's rate of growth?
- 15 Α. As far as I remember, we did not.
- I think another thing that was important to you in terms of 16 17 purchasing these Luna tokens was that Mr. Kwon, Do Kwon, had successfully founded other projects, is that right?
- That would have been a factor. 19 Α.
- 20 It would have been? 0.
- 21 It would have been, yes. Α.
- 22 You thought Mr. Kwon's background was impressive, correct? Q.
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 As were the backgrounds of other TFL employees, right? 0.
- 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. You believed that Do Kwon and the Terra team were committed to growing Terra, right?
- $3 \parallel A$. We did.
- 4 | Q. That was important to your purchase decision, right?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Now, I mentioned briefly the Luna Foundation Guard. I just
- 7 | want to make sure we're clear here. LFG was not an affiliate
- 8 of Terra, right?
- 9 A. Are you asking me?
- 10 | O. I am.
- 11 A. I understood it to be an affiliate.
- 12 | Q. Let's take a look at so in fact well, let's take a
- 13 | look at Defense Exhibit 1407, just for the witness, what's been
- 14 marked for identification as Defense Exhibit 1407.
- 15 || Sir, this is a Medium do you see this is a Medium
- 16 article, and it says published in Terra, January 19, 2022?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Do you recall reviewing this as part of your due diligence
- 19 | into your purchase of Luna tokens?
- 20 | A. I don't.
- 21 | Q. Sir, in fact, Luna Foundation Guard was a nonprofit
- 22 | organization, was it not?
- 23 | A. That's how it was described to us, yes.
- 24 | Q. And, in fact, it had its independent had independent
- 25 | counsel, right?

- 1 A. I don't know about that.
- 2 Q. It had people on the board who were not employees of
- 3 | Terraform, right?
- 4 A. I don't think we were exposed to all the members of the
- 5 | board of Luna Foundation Guard.
- 6 Q. I think, just to be clear, I think we used the term
- 7 | "affiliate." What do you understand an affiliate to be?
- 8 A. In this case, I mean that it had similar or same
- 9 | leadership, but there's other definitions.
- 10 Q. So Republic Capital's purchase of Luna tokens was conducted
- 11 | through the fundraise for the Luna Foundation Guard. Do I have
- 12 | it right?
- 13 | A. That sounds correct.
- 14 | Q. I want to show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 32.
- And I just apologize? Is this one in? 32 is in?
- MS. CUELLAR: Yes.
- MR. FERRARA: If we could publish this for the jury as
- 18 well.
- 19 \parallel Q. If we flip to the this is the token sale agreement
- 20 | between Republic or Republic sort of other entity and
- 21 | Terraform, right? Or, sorry —
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. and Luna Foundation Guard?
- 24 How did it work? We can flip to the next page.
- 25 A. You'd have to show me the signature line.

- Q. Yeah, sure.
- 2 A. Yes, that says Luna Foundation Guard.
- 3 | Q. And it's dated January 21, 2022, right?
- 4 A. Yes.

- 5 Q. And when it says "buyer," that is essentially Republic,
- 6 | right?
- 7 A. The investment vehicle we would have established, yes.
- 8 | Q. Right. If we just look at if we scroll down a little
- 9 | bit, so if we look at under subparagraph 2, we see that
- 10 | language in the sort of first full paragraph: "The
- 11 establishment of UST reserve is a nonprofit initiative of the
- 12 | vendor to provide a further layer support to maintain the UST's
- 13 peg to the USD."
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 | A. I do.
- 16 | Q. "It is contemplated that in an event where the market price
- 17 of UST deviates from the USD peg, holders of UST in the
- 18 community will be able to close the arbitrage and bring the
- 19 | market price of UST back to the USD peg."
- 20 Do you see that?
- 21 | A. I do.
- 22 | Q. So you understood at the time you purchased these tokens
- 23 | that there might be an event that would require holders of UST
- 24 | to bring the market price of UST back to the peg, right?
- 25 A. That is how the arbitrage mechanism worked, yes.

- 1 Q. One of the holders of UST in the community was Jump, right?
- 2 A. It seemed so.
- 3 | Q. You weren't deterred from your purchase by this language
- 4 | that the peg might need the help of the of market
- 5 participants to repeg, right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. And in fact, right, like, Republic's \$35.9 million, part of
- 8 | the purpose of that from Luna Foundation Guard's perspective
- 9 was to help maintain the peg, right?
- 10 | A. In part.
- MR. FERRARA: We can take this down, Mr. Aquino.
- 12 | Thank you, sir.
- 13 Q. LFG publicly announced its fundraise in February of 2022,
- 14 | right?
- 15 | A. Probably. I don't see it in front of me. I don't know
- 16 when they did their PR announcement.
- 17 | Q. Let's looked at what's been marked for identification as
- 18 Defense Exhibit 1711.
- 19 Do you recognize this as a Twitter post from Terra
- 20 dated February 22, 2022? There's a series of Terra posts.
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Did you see these at the time?
- 23 A. I don't remember.
- 24 | Q. You knew that Terra was going to publicize the fundraise,
- 25 | right?

- 1 Α. We assumed so.
- 2 You understood that part of the public announcement would 0.
- be the idea that Terra would be telling the community that 3
- 4 there was this stability for the peg, is that right?
- 5 A. As far as I remember, they didn't share their PR plans with
- 6 us, but that seems reasonable.
- 7 MR. FERRARA: We can take this down, Mr. Aquino.
- Thank you. 8
- Q. So let's bring back up, real quick, Plaintiff's 32 again. 9
- 10 This is the token sale agreement we were just talking about.
- 11 If we take a look at page 12, and I think it's
- 12 Section 4.12, it says: "Significant Risks. The buyer
- 13 acknowledges and understands that participating in the token
- 14 sale and the ownership and distribution of buyer tokens involve
- significant risks, including but not limited to the risks set 15
- out in Schedule 4." 16
- 17 Did I read that right?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- Q. And then if we look at Schedule 4, which I think is page 26 19
- 20 — I think it's like the sort of PDF 26th page, Mr. Aquino —
- 21 these are all — I won't read them all, but these are all the
- 22 risk factors, right?
- 23 A. These are all the risk factors that they put in the
- 24 document, yes.
- 25 MR. FERRARA: And we can actually zoom out just so the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

jury has a sense. And of course, the jury will have this to review, so I won't go through all of it. But I just highlight maybe one or two.

If we just look at (b), Mr. Aquino, if we just highlight (b) for the witness and the jurors.

Q. So it says: "Volatility Risks. The value of digital assets are highly speculative and is typically associated with high price volatility. Digital assets, including the tokens, can completely lose all market value."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. So you understood at the time Republic made its purchase that Luna tokens could completely lose their value, correct?

 A. Yes.
- MR. FERRARA: Now, we can bring this down. Thank you so much.
- 17 | Q. We talked about the sort of, again, May 2021 depeg, right?
- 18 | A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Then you also testified about the May 2022 depeg in which I think you testified that and that's where I think the
- 21 | losses, your losses, occurred, correct?
- 22 A. The next year, yes.
- 23 | Q. Right.
- 24 A. That sounds right.
- 25 Q. Now, you understood that the LFG capital, that capital

- 1 raise, you understood that was actually deployed to fight the May 2022 depeq, right? 2
- I understood that after the fact of our investment, yes. 3
- But, in other words, at the time or shortly after the 4 Q.
- May 2022 depeg, you understood that the money raised by LFG 5
- was, in fact, used to try to keep the price of UST at \$1, 6
- 7 right?
- If I remember correctly, that is what Do Kwon said in his 8
- 9 posts.
- 10 That was publicly disclosed, right?
- 11 Α. I think so.
- Well, the posts were public. Do Kwon's posts were public? 12
- 13 I believe so. Α.
- Now, you also understood at the time that you invested 14
- 15 you also understood at the time that you purchased the Luna
- 16 tokens that algorithmic stablecoins like UST were operating
- 17 under the stress of extreme market volatility, right?
- 18 A. I wouldn't specifically point out just algorithmic
- 19 stablecoin. Crypto in general has volatility.
- 20 Q. Fair enough.
- 21 You understood - I guess another way to say it is the
- 22 forces of supply and demand operate on stablecoins like they
- 23 would on any other thing, fair to say?
- 24 Α. Sure.
- 25 I want to show you what's been marked as Defense

- Exhibit 33, what's been marked for identification as Defense 33.
- A. Can I amend my last answer a little bit?

 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- A. One thing I would say is that although typical cryptocurrencies like Luna will have high volatility, stablecoins are not expected to have high volatility.
 - Q. They are expected to remain pegged, correct?
- 9 A. Yes, by definition.
- 10 Q. But, again, as we discussed earlier, if no one wants to buy
- 11 | it, it cannot maintain the peg, right?
- 12 A. Probably.

- Q. Large institutional investors, for example, could put downward price pressure on the peg, right?
- 15 A. By offering to sell large amounts of it at a price lower 16 than a dollar, yes.
- Q. Right. That could have the effect of destabilizing the peg, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 MR. FERRARA: I think D-33 is admitted.
- MS. CUELLAR: No, I don't believe so, your Honor.
- 22 MR. FERRARA: Am I wrong? I apologize. I am wrong.
- 23 Q. So let's take a look at just for as marked for 24 identification here.
- 25 So do you recognize this as a Medium do you know,

O3QHSec2 Revsin - Cross

1 | what is Medium?

- 2 A. I understand Medium is a place where companies and
- 3 | individuals can make blog posts.
- 4 Q. Do you recognize this as a Terra Medium post from June 9 of
- 5 | 2021?
- 6 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 7 | Q. Did you review this at the time as part of your due
- 8 diligence?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. Let's try another one. I only have a couple more. Let's
- 11 | take a look at what's been marked for identification as Defense
- 12 | Exhibit 352.
- Sir, you testified that you and your colleagues looked
- 14 at research reports, correct?
- 15 A. Probably, yes. That was what we would typically do.
- 16 Q. Do you recognize this as a Delphi Digital research report
- 17 | about Terra dated December 22, 2021?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Did you review this as part of your due diligence?
- 20 | A. I don't know if the team did. I did not.
- 21 | Q. But you knew we can take this down, Mr. Aquino but
- 22 | you knew, sir, just from your experience, that stablecoins were
- 23 | susceptible to death spirals, right?
- 24 A. I don't know if I would agree with that statement. I would
- 25 | say I understood a depeg, but I wouldn't say that I knew they

- were susceptible to death spirals.
- 2 Q. Well, again, as an investor with your experience in the
- 3 crypto market, is it surprising to you that if the if the
- 4 price of UST started to come down, people could get scared and
- 5 | abandon the product entirely?
- 6 A. It was a possibility.
- 7 | Q. You understand that, as we discussed, there are analysts
- 8 who put out these research papers on crypto tokens and crypto
- 9 projects, right?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | THE COURT: Counsel, how much more do you have?
- 12 MR. FERRARA: Probably have ten minutes. Maybe not
- 13 | even, your Honor. About ten minutes.
- 14 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- MR. FERRARA: Thank you, your Honor.
- 16 Q. The idea of a death spiral was also publicized by *The Wall*
- 17 | Street Journal in 2022, right?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. So let's take a look at what's in evidence as Defense 46.
- 20 I'm happy to briefly consult.
- 21 (Counsel confer)
- 22 MR. FERRARA: I think we all agree that this one's in
- 23 | evidence. I'm happy to discuss it further with SEC counsel,
- 24 | but I think we agree.
- MS. CUELLAR: Yes, we agree. Objection, though, on

1

foundation for this witness, your Honor.

2

I don't know how I can rule. I don't think — there's not a

4

3

pending question, I don't believe.

5

MR. FERRARA: I don't think there is. We'd put it up

THE COURT: Well, I haven't heard the question yet, so

6

for the jury. It's in evidence.

7

THE COURT: You can show the document. It's in evidence. But now I want to hear the question.

8

MR. FERRARA: OK.

9

Q. So do you recognize this as a Wall Street Journal article

11

12

A. By the URL on the top, yes.

13

Q. Would your team — would you or your team review — did you

14

and your team in 2022 review the financial press as part of

15

your due diligence into Terra?

dated April 18, 2022?

16

A. Honestly, I don't remember, but it would not have been a primary source of due diligence, random articles.

1718

Q. Let me show you —

19

THE COURT: Do you recollect whether you saw this particular article?

20

THE WITNESS: I did not see this one. I can't speak to others within Republic.

22

21

Q. Here's my question. If we look at page 3, there's the

24

23

second full paragraph down, it says: Ryan Clements, a law

25

professor at the University of Calgary who has studied

O3QHSec2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Revsin - Cross

329

- algorithmic stablecoins, says TerraUSD is susceptible to what crypto traders call a death spiral. Do you see that?
 - A. That's what it says.
 - Q. So I want to understand your testimony. Is it your testimony that these ideas were published in mainstream media, but you did not understand at the time —

THE COURT: Sustained.

8 MR. FERRARA: We can take this down, Mr. Aquino. 9 Thank you.

Q. Let's turn away from the reports and let's talk about blockchain governance just for a couple of minutes, and then I'm done.

You're aware of the concept of governance tokens. I think you mentioned it on direct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. The tokens give the holders of the token the ability to vote their tokens to support or oppose particular changes to the sort of rules on the blockchain?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- Q. Luna was a governance token for the Terra blockchain, right?
- 22 A. That is how we understood it.
- Q. So the blockchain was governed by community members, fair to say?
- 25 A. That was the end goal. I'm not sure it ever fully achieved

- that, or, rather, I would say there were significantly large holders that could sway the opinion of a particular vote.
- Q. Which is not different than, for instance, like well, withdrawn.

And community members could vote with their — they could stake their Luna, right?

A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. What does that mean, to stake Luna?
- 9 A. It means to essentially lock up your Luna within a

 10 validator or a node to receive yield and potentially be able to

 11 also support the vote of one of those validators. I believe

 12 I'm explaining it correctly, but. . .
 - Q. So you knew in 2022, at the time you made your purchase that Republic made its purchase, that Jump Trading had made governance proposals for the Terra blockchain, right?
 - A. I don't remember.
 - Q. The governance proposals well, let's take a look at

 Defense 26, what's been marked for identification as Defense

 26.

Do you recognize this as a post on Terra's research forum?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall seeing this as part of your due diligence into Terra?
- 25 A. Not this particular one.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

20

21

- Q. Sir, take a minute to look at this, and I'm going to ask if it refreshes your memory about Jump Trading making governance proposals. Please don't read from it. Just read it to yourself.
 - MS. CUELLAR: Objection, your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Ground?
- MS. CUELLAR: He did not say his memory needed to be refreshed.
- MR. FERRARA: Your Honor, apologies. He said, "I don't remember." I'm looking at it.
- THE COURT: Well, what it says, according to what I'm looking at is:
- "Q. Do you recall seeing this as part of your due diligence into Terra?
- 15 A. Not this particular one."
 - MR. FERRARA: Above that, your Honor, I was asking the witness if he remembers whether Jump made governance proposals.
- THE COURT: All right. To move this along, I'll let
 him look at it. Just read it to yourself.
 - A. I've read it. I did not see this particular governance proposal.
 - Q. Understood. We can take this down, Mr. Aquino.
- My question, sir, is does this refresh your memory
 that Jump did make governance proposals?
- 25 A. That seems reasonable.

O3QHSec2

Revsin - Cross

332

- Q. Those are public, the proposals are public, right, on the blockchain?
- 3 A. I'm not sure.
- 4 | Q. Well, isn't that part of the —
- A. They're public on the forums. I'm not sure if they're public on the blockchain.
 - Q. Fair enough.

7

8

9

As part of Republic's due diligence, did anyone at Republic research governance proposals involving UST?

- 10 A. It's likely.
- MR. FERRARA: Your Honor, could I just have one moment?
- 13 (Counsel confer)
- MR. FERRARA: Your Honor, if I could just confer with

 SEC counsel for a second.
- 16 (Counsel confer)
- MR. FERRARA: Sorry, your Honor. I just have one or two questions.
- Q. Sir, we talked about the vehicle that Republic created to publish the tokens, right?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- Q. That was a vehicle that I believe Republic set up an offshore entity to purchase those tokens, is that right?
- 24 A. That sounds right.
- 25 | Q. And you were asked to do that by someone at Terra, correct?

O3QHSec2

Revsin - Redirect

- 1 A. The general counsel of Terraform.
- 2 | Q. Not Do Kwon, right?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. And you did it in order to buy these tokens, correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. Do you remember where? Was that one of the Cayman Islands,
- 7 sir?
- 8 A. Yes. Our legal team would have handled the majority of
- 9 | this work.
- 10 MR. FERRARA: No further questions, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Redirect.
- MS. CUELLAR: Yes, your Honor.
- I will try to be brief, your Honor.
- 14 If we could please display Plaintiff's Exhibit 24A.
- 15 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MS. CUELLAR:
- 17 | Q. I'm focusing on the lower part of the page where it begins
- 18 | with "terms of the round."
- 19 | A. Uh-huh.
- 20 | Q. Does here it say "Jump Capital's leading with an
- 21 | approximate .3B commitment"?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. What was .3B?
- 24 A. I understood it to mean \$300 million.
- 25 | Q. And how did it influence you to know that Jump Capital was

- 1 | investing approximately \$300 million?
- 2 A. I felt it to be they had a lot of conviction in the protocol.
 - Q. Now, did you and your team conduct due diligence before recommending this investment?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

- Q. And what did you look at?
- A. We looked at the marketing deck that was supplied through
 this email, we referenced the discussion that we had with Jeff

 Kuan, and we looked at publicly available material and on-chain
- 11 data.
- 12 Q. Now, you used the term "on-chain," and I think defense
- 13 counsel did as well. What does "on-chain" mean?
- 14 \parallel A. Essentially, we used an explorer website that would explain
- 15 what was happening on the blockchain, meaning all of the
- 16 transactions, when they settled, for what size, and so forth.
- 17 | Q. Now, were you able to research off-chain activity?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 | Q. Can you see into trading at centralized exchanges?
- 20 | A. You cannot.
- 21 Q. Now, at any point in your due diligence process, did anyone
- 22 | tell you that Jump had intervened and purchased tens of
- 23 | millions of UST to help restore the UST to \$1 in May of 2021?
- 24 | A. No.

25

Q. Is that something you would have wanted to know?

O3QHSec2

Revsin - Redirect

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 MS. CUELLAR: If we could please display Plaintiff's
- 3 Exhibit 32 and go to page 12.
- 4 | Q. I believe defense counsel referred to paragraph 4.12,
- 5 | significant risks. Is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MS. CUELLAR: If we could go to Schedule 4, please,
- 8 which is on page 26.
- 9 Q. For the sake of expediency, what is listed here?
- 10 A. Risk factors.
- 11 | Q. And are the risk factors in bold?
- 12 A. The first portion, yes.
- 13 | Q. I will read it just for expediency.
- Is the first one the tokens are nonrefundable?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is the second one volatility risk?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Is the third one market liquidity risk?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Is the fourth one regulatory risk?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Is the fifth one risk associated with taxation?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Is the sixth one risk associated with negative publicity?
- 25 A. Yes.

O3QHSec2

Revsin - Redirect

- 1 Q. Is the seventh one operational and legal risks?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Is the eighth one technology risks?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Is the ninth one risks associated with consensus mechanisms
- 6 of blockchains and distributed networks?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Is the next one risks associated with hardware and software
- 9 | weaknesses?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Is the next one risks associated with service providers?
- 12 A. Yes.
- MS. CUELLAR: Can we go to the next page.
- 14 | Q. Is the next one risks associated with participating in
- 15 decentralized finance, if applicable?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Is the next one risks of force majeure?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Is the next one unanticipated risk?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Is anywhere there a risk that says the Terra team withholds
- 22 | information from you?
- 23 MR. FERRARA: Objection. Argumentative.
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 25 A. No.

Kol - Direct

O3QHSec2

1 MS. CUELLAR: No further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. FERRARA: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. You may step down.

337

(Witness excused)

THE COURT: Please call your next witness.

MS. MEEHAN: The SEC calls Jonathan Kol.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please take the witness stand.

Please remain standing and raise your right hand.

JONATHAN MOSHE KOL,

called as a witness by the Plaintiff,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Counsel.

14 DIRECT EXAMINATION

15 BY MS. MEEHAN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Kol. Where are you from?
- A. I'm from Greenwich, Connecticut.
- 18 | Q. What is your current state of residence?
- 19 A. Connecticut.
- 20 | Q. What is the highest level of education that you've
- 21 | received?
 - A. A bachelor's degree.
- 23 Q. Where did you get your bachelor's degree?
- 24 A. The University of California at Berkeley.
- 25 | Q. And what year was that?

O3QHSec2 Kol - Direct

- 1 | A. 2016.
- 2 | Q. What did you obtain your bachelor's degree in?
- 3 | A. Economics.
- 4 | Q. After you graduated from Berkeley, what did you do?
- 5 A. I joined Morgan Stanley in their fixed income division.
- Q. And what types of work did you do in Morgan Stanley's fixed
- 7 | income division?
- 8 A. Initially, I worked on the sales desk where I was assisting
- 9 in the sales of bonds and eventually was promoted to a trader
- 10 | role where I was trading similar bonds.
- 11 Q. For how long a period of time did you work at Morgan
- 12 | Stanley?
- 13 A. Roughly two years.
- 14 | Q. What year did you leave that job?
- 15 A. 2018.
- 16 Q. When you left Morgan Stanley in 2018, where did you go?
- 17 | A. I had joined a firm called Passport Capital that was
- 18 getting started with a crypto-specific team, and I joined that
- 19 team specifically.
- 20 | Q. Sorry, Mr. Kol, if you could just keep your voice up
- 21 | because I'm having a little trouble hearing your answers.
- 22 | A. Oh.
- 23 | Q. Could you just tell me again, what type of firm is Passport
- 24 | Capital?
- 25 A. Passport Capital was a hedge fund that had just entered the

6

7

8

9

10

339

- crypto industry and started a crypto-specific fund which I joined to work on that crypto fund.
- Q. And what type of work did you do at Passport Capital for this crypto specific fund that you've described?
 - A. At Passport I had an investor role, which meant that I was doing analysis to stay abreast of general market trends. I was meeting other market researchers. I was speaking directly with people who were looking to start their own crypto venture and were seeking funding, and I was diligencing and eventually
- 11 Q. What was your position?
- 12 A. I was called an investment analyst.
- Q. For how long a period of time did you do this work at

leading investments into some of those opportunities.

- 14 | Passport Capital?
- 15 A. Roughly two years.
- 16 Q. Where is Passport Capital located?
- 17 A. San Francisco, California.
- 18 Q. Did there come a time when you left Passport Capital?
- 19 A. Yes, at the end of 2019.
- 20 Q. Where did you go?
- 21 A. At that point I joined Galaxy Digital.
- 22 Q. What type of firm is Galaxy Digital?
- A. Galaxy Digital is a firm that's active in the crypto

 sector, and it conducts all sorts of business, from trading and

 selling assets on behalf of clients. They also engage in

lending on behalf of clients. They had a mining operation, not physical mining but cryptocurrency mining. And of course, they

- 3 | had an investment business, which I was a part of.
- Q. Can you tell us a little bit more about this investment
- 5 business that you were part of at Galaxy Capital.
- 6 A. It was a fairly it was a venture capital business, but
- 7 | instead of having external investors, it used the firm's
- 8 | balance sheet. In this capacity, we would often meet with
- 9 | founders who are starting crypto businesses or already had
- 10 something operating. We were learning more about their
- 11 | opportunities, and in some cases we would choose to make an
- 12 | investment into their operation. Beyond that, we also
- conducted broad market research to stay abreast of everything
- 14 | happening within the industry and any new trends that were
- 15 arising.
- 16 | Q. When you say "the industry," you're referring to crypto
- 17 | asset industry or industry?
- 18 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 19 Q. Where is Galaxy Digital based?
- 20 | A. I don't know where it's formally headquartered, but I was
- 21 | initially, I was the only person working for them in
- 22 | San Francisco, and eventually, I was working in an office in
- 23 New York.
- Q. When you say "eventually," when was that?
- 25 A. That happened during COVID, so the latter half of 2020.

- 2 crypto investments in your position at Galaxy Digital. Can you
- tell me about how you would go about doing that research? 3
- 4 A. A number of ways, anywhere from talking to experts on the
- 5 specific sector of the market, conducting research online,
- 6 reading what other researchers put out, learning more about
- 7 distinct areas. Many times also speaking to people who are
- practitioners within that area. That could take the form of 8
- 9 people who work at companies that perform a specific task or
- 10 are about to start a company focused on a specific area.
- 11 Beyond that, we would also consult. Galaxy on its own had an
- 12 internal research organization which we would consult with
- 13 often.
- 14 During your tenure at Galaxy Digital, did there come a time
- 15 when you learned of a company called Terraform Labs?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 When was that? Ο.
- 18 It was the beginning of my tenure there, but I was already
- familiar with Terraform Labs from my time at Passport Capital. 19
- 20 How did you become familiar with Terraform Labs? 0.
- 21 Initially was during my tenure at passport where my
- 22 superior there had mentioned one of the opportunities we'll be
- 23 reviewing is Terra and that the founders were coming to
- 24 San Francisco, and there's a prospect of us meeting them in
- 25 person.

- 1 | Q. Who were the founders?
- 2 A. Daniel Shin and Do Kwon.
- 3 Q. You said that they were coming to San Francisco and there
- 4 was an opportunity of meeting them in person. Did you, in
- 5 | fact, meet with Daniel Shin and Do Kwon in person?
- 6 A. Don't recall if Daniel Shin was there, but Do Kwon was
- 7 definitely there. And they came by the Passport Capital
- 8 office, so we met in person.
- 9 | O. And that was in San Francisco?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And you talked about an opportunity. Can you just give a
- 12 | little more detail about what that opportunity was.
- 13 A. Absolutely. At the time, the Terra blockchain had not
- 14 existed yet. These gentlemen were coming to tell us about this
- 15 | thing that they were going to start that eventually will become
- 16 the Terra blockchain. They had shared more about their
- 17 experience in e-commerce and payments. They had said we are
- 18 going to build this blockchain, this is the design for it, and
- 19 | gave us a broad overview. And that was the opportunity at
- 20 | hand was to purchase what would eventually become tokens in
- 21 | this blockchain.
- 22 | Q. Did Passport Capital ultimately invest in that opportunity?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Can you tell us about that investment.
- 25 A. Yes. It was to purchase what would later be known as Luna

1 tokens, which were a part of the Terra blockchain.

- 2 Q. Apart from this meeting in San Francisco while you were
- 3 working at Passport Capital, did you meet with Do Kwon on any
- 4 other occasions?
- 5 A. Yes. Met with Do Kwon in person in New York in
- 6 September 2021. Galaxy had held a small gathering around the
- 7 | May .Net conference, and Mr. Kwon happened to be in town, so we
- 8 | invited him to join us.
- 9 Q. While you were employed at Galaxy was that while you
- 10 were employed at Galaxy?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. While you were employed at Galaxy, did you interact with Do
- 13 | Kwon by other methods?
- 14 A. Routinely, by combination of phone and video call, as well
- 15 | as through chat.
- 16 Q. How frequently would you interact with Do Kwon?
- 17 A. There was a variance in frequency, but oftentimes it would
- 18 be no more than a few months would go by, but usually we spoke
- 19 at least once a month.
- 20 Q. While you were employed at Galaxy, did there come a time
- 21 when you learned of another opportunity to invest in Luna
- 22 | tokens?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. When was that?
- 25 A. I don't recall the exact date, but it was in the early

1

2

6

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- portion of 2021 sorry, 2020.
- Q. How did you come to learn of this opportunity?
- A. In one of my conversations with Do when we were just checking in with each other, he had mentioned that he would be
- 5 interested in Galaxy exploring this prospect.
 - Q. Sorry. Can you just repeat the last part of your answer.
- 7 A. He had mentioned being interested in Galaxy looking into 8 something like this.
 - Q. Were you interested in this opportunity to invest in Luna?
- 10 A. After speaking with Do and learning about the most recent updates, yes.
- 12 Q. And what was it that attracted you to the investment?
- A. The thing that was most attractive to me personally, and I think certainly sorry, I should not speak on behalf of others.

The thing that was attractive to me was that Terra seemed like the first blockchain that had a genuine prospect of having some utility outside of just the crypto space, and in this case specifically, that is because of its ability to be used in e-commerce and payments.

THE COURT: What do you mean by that?

THE WITNESS: The Terra blockchain was meant to facilitate what was called a stablecoin. And in the realm of payments, those stablecoins could be used as opposed to more traditional means of payments through other payment systems,

- 1 like SWIFT, or what have you, and that there are purported
- 2 benefits to using something like a blockchain, such as perhaps
- 3 reduced payment processing costs or reduced time for payment
- 4 processing.
- 5 Q. Did you have any understanding of how this was being
- 6 | accomplished?
- 7 A. Yes.

- Q. How did you come to that understanding?
- 9 A. Primarily from Do Kwon directly.
- 10 | Q. What was that understanding?
- 11 A. That the Terra blockchain would be used as a sort of back
- 12 end for the settlement of transactions that are happening
- 13 | through other payment apps.
- 14 | Q. What were some of these what were these other payment
- 15 | apps that you're referring to?
- 16 A. The most prominent one was called Chai, which was started
- 17 by a lot of the same people who started Terraform.
- 18 | Q. What was Chai?
- 19 | A. To the best of my understanding, Chai was a payments app
- 20 | that was primarily active in South Korea. You could think of
- 21 | it as a South Korean equivalent to something like Venmo.
- 22 | Q. When you say it was started by other people who started
- 23 | Terraform, did that include Do Kwon?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | THE COURT: Counsel, we're going to need to break in

O3QHSec2 Kol - Direct

the next few minutes for lunch, so pick a point where you want to break.

MS. MEEHAN: Now, is fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, so we'll take an hour for lunch now, and we'll resume promptly at 2:00 and go to 3:30.

(Jury excused)

(Continued on next page)

1 (Jury not present) 2 THE COURT: Please be seated. 3 When was Mr. Do Kwon's conviction for forgery? 4 MR. CONNOR: I believe it was March of 2023. 5 THE COURT: March of 2023? 6 MR. CONNOR: 2023, after we filed our complaint. 7 THE COURT: OK. The reason I raise it is — MR. CONNOR: I'm sorry, June. He was arrested in 8 9 March; the conviction was in June. My apologies. 10 THE COURT: I want to remind everyone to be careful 11 about opening the door. So the question was put to Mr. Revsin 12 on cross: Mr. Do Kwon's — you knew that Mr. Do Kwon's 13 background was impressive, and that was a factor in your 14 decision. I don't think that opened any door given that we're 15 talking, in the case of Mr. Revsin, about 2022. But had the conviction been earlier, of course, it would clearly have 16 17 opened the door to redirect: Did you know when you read about 18 his impressive background that he had been convicted for forgery? So all the discussion we've had about that would have 19 20 been mooted. But since it was 2023, that was not a problem. 21 But I just want to caution everyone about being careful not to 22 open doors. 23 All right. We'll see you all. 24 MR. CONNOR: Your Honor, could I raise one other issue

that's actually on this topic?

O3QHSec2

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CONNOR: Our understanding is Do Kwon was released from prison yesterday, and so we've conferred with defense counsel about the opportunity of doing a remote deposition or testimony. We, of course, would need to comply with the Montenegrin procedures, but we wanted to flag that for your Honor. That is a development since yesterday. I wanted to make your Honor aware of that.

MR. PATTON: Your Honor, perhaps the SEC has better information than we do. As far as we know, he's still in custody, but we're happy to look into it.

THE COURT: Yes, that's between you guys. The one thing I'm sure I do not need to emphasize is we are not going to pause this trial for any reason whatsoever.

So very good.

(Lunch recess)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AFTERNOON SESSION

349

2:00 p.m.

THE COURT: Let's get the witness back on the stand and let's bring in the jury.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Jury entering.

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Please be seated. I was kind of disappointed there were no interesting T-shirts today.

Although, juror no. 5 has a nice dog pattern that you're now concealing with your scarf, but I can see. There it is.

JUROR: I'm into dogs. I have a lot of dogs.

THE COURT: All right. Let's continue.

Jonathan Kol, resumed.

DIRECT EXAMINATION CONTINUED

BY MS. MEEHAN:

Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Kol.

Before the break we were discussing Galaxy's potential investment in Luna and I believe you mentioned that you understood that the Chai payment application was using the Terraform blockchain on the back end.

Can you tell us what you meant by the term "back end?"

A. That the Terra blockchain was used as a sort of engine to facilitate the transactions. If you think of -- let's say I mentioned before of the Chai app resembling Venmo, which many of us are probably familiar with. How many of us then know

what happens when I send you let's say money on Venmo, what actually happens in the background? So in this case if it were on the Chai app, that transaction from say my Venmo account to yours, or my Chai account to yours, or paying a merchant with the Chai app, that that transaction is actually reflected on the Terra blockchain, meaning that while transactions happen within the app, the actual exchange of funds happens and is facilitated on the Terra blockchain.

- Q. And how did you come to that understanding?
- A. Primarily from conversations with Do Kwon.
- Q. You also mentioned earlier that this facilitation resulted in certain benefits. What were some of those benefits?
 - A. The purported benefits were centered around two primary issues. One is the cost of payment processing. When you process a transaction through a credit card, the credit card network charges, even today, upwards of two and a half percent, sometimes 3 percent.

By using Chai and by Chai's usage of the Terra blockchain, that cost was supposed to be reduced by as much as half.

And another major benefit is the amount of time for that payment to be processed. Such that, in the end of the day the merchants can receive their payment in a much quicker time instead of what might be several days or even weeks that it could be in a much shorter time span. And those were the two

4

5

6

7

8

9

- primary benefits that we understood came from the usage of the Terra blockchain.
 - Q. And how did you come to the understanding as to those two specific benefits?
 - A. Primarily from conversation with Do who mentioned that many times, both in private conversations with us, as well as in his more public speaking.
 - Q. So in addition to your conversations with Do Kwon in this regard, did you conduct any additional research on this?
 - A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. What did you do?
- 12 A. We consumed materials that were outward facing. There were
 13 many public presentations, whether they were a conferences or
- live streams where Do or someone from Terraform would speak
 about the connection between Chai and Terra.
- 16 Q. And did you consult any additional materials in your research?
- 18 A. On this specific matter, no.
- Q. Are you familiar with what's known as the Terra Station dashboard?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Can you tell me what that is?
- A. The Terra Station dashboard was a website that had a
 visualization of everything happening on the Terra blockchain,
 from the transactions that were committed on any period of

- time, the total dollar value that the transactions involved, the number of accounts that were transacting, and the total number of accounts that were open. All of which are very useful statistics if you were trying to understand what was happening on the Terra blockchain.
- Q. Why are those very useful statistics?
- A. They are the best indication as to the amount of traction that this thing has. If those numbers are going up, if the transaction volume is increasing, if the amount of each transaction is increasing, if the number of accounts is increasing, all of those are very useful indicators, telling you that Terra is being used more and more often.
- Q. When you say Terra, are you referring to the Terraform blockchain?
- A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. And why was that information that you've just explained -why was that significant?
 - A. Insofar as us being interested and making an investment, it was extremely relevant as all of this information indicates whether this thing is being used or not. And as an investor, you want to see is it being used. Is the premise of this thing making a difference in the world? It being used more and more is an indication of, yes, it is making a difference. And that is very supportive of the notion of investment thesis or an eventual investment recommendation.

- Q. You just used the term "it" a lot in your answer. What
- 2 | specifically were you referring to when you said "it?"
- 3 A. My apologies. I was specifically referring to the
- 4 increased usage as indicated by something like the Terra
- 5 Station dashboard which reported the statistics of what's
- 6 occurring on the Terra blockchain.
- 7 | Q. And how did you learn about the Terra Station dashboard?
- 8 A. I don't remember specifically, but most likely it was from
- 9 Do, but it was in the public domain you could say.
- 10 MR. FERRARA: Objection, your Honor. Speculation.
- 11 Move to strike.
- 12 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 13 BY MS. MEEHAN:
- 14 Q. Where did you find the information that you were referring
- 15 | to from the Terra Station dashboard?
- 16 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
- 17 | Q. Where did you go to look at the Terra Station dashboard?
- 18 | A. It was a website. I think it was TerraStation.com or
- 19 something akin to that.
- 20 | Q. And did you have any understanding as to -- withdrawn.
- 21 Did there come a time when you raised the possibility
- 22 | of this investment at Luna to other individuals at Galaxy?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. When was that?
- 25 A. Don't remember the exact date, but it had to be in the

O3QASEC3

Kol - Direct

- 1 | early part of 2021 -- or sorry, 2020.
- MS. MEEHAN: At this time, Mr. Haywood, could you
- 3 please pull up for the witness Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.
- 4 | Q. Mr. Kol, do you recognize this document?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- $6 \parallel 0$. What is it?
- 7 A. It is an e-mail sent from me to my colleagues.
- 8 MR. KORNBLAU: Your Honor, this isn't in evidence yet.
- 9 Oh, we don't have the feed yet.
- 10 MS. MEEHAN: Can you pull it up for counsel too,
- 11 Mr. Haywood. They don't have it on their screen.
- 12 MR. PELLEGRINO: We have an error.
- 13 (Pause)
- MR. KORNBLAU: Got it. Thank you.
- 15 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 16 BY MS. MEEHAN:
- 17 | Q. Mr. Kol, what is this document?
- 18 A. It is an e-mail sent from me to my colleagues at Galaxy.
- 19 | Q. Did you send this e-mail from your e-mail address at Galaxy
- 20 | Digital?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 MS. MEEHAN: At this time, the SEC offers Plaintiff's
- 23 | Exhibit 2 into evidence.
- MR. KORNBLAU: Objection. Hearsay.
- MR. FERRARA: Objection.

1 THE COURT: Can someone blow it up for me. 2 Without describing what's on this document, what was 3 the reason you sent this document? 4 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I sent this document because 5 I wanted to -- as I would with any other prospective 6 investment, inform my colleagues that there is something 7 interesting that is worth spending more time on. 8 THE COURT: So this was a document you created in the course of the regular course of your business? 9 10 THE WITNESS: Correct. 11 THE COURT: And it was reflecting matters that had 12 occurred contemporaneously or soon after -- soon before the 13 preparation of the document? 14 THE WITNESS: Correct. 15 THE COURT: And it was maintained in your records as part of the regular course of business? 16 17 THE WITNESS: Correct. 18 THE COURT: Overruled. Received. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 received in evidence) 19 20 BY MS. MEEHAN: 21 Mr. Kol, what is the date of this e-mail? 22 Α. It looks like January 21, 2020. 23 Who did you send this e-mail to? 24 I sent to my colleagues, Michael Jordan, Josh Lim, Luca Α. 25 Jankovic and William Nuelle. And I also copied our superiors,

- 1 | in this case Peter Wisniewski and Greg Wassermann.
- 2 | Q. And can you please read the subject line in the email?
 - A. "Call with Terra cofounder Do Kwon."
- 4 | Q. And if I can direct you to the third paragraph -- excuse
- 5 me. To the first paragraph. Can you please read the first
- 6 sentence of that paragraph there?
- 7 A. Of course. "Just got off the phone with Terra cofounder Do
- 8 Kwon."

- 9 Q. And moving now down to the third paragraph. Can you please
- 10 read the first sentence of the third paragraph there?
- 11 | A. "What's attracted me to Terra is the strong user growth
- 12 | metrics they are posting, which if are to be believed make
- 13 | Terra one of the most active networks behind Bitcoin and
- 14 Etherum, coupled with the current price of Luna (down to a
- 15 current market cap of 56 million) which has been in free fall
- 16 as a number of large investors have become forced sellers as
- 17 | they're liquidating their funds (allegedly FBG) the largest
- 18 | investor has been doing this."
- 19 Q. Mr. Kol, what were you referring to when you used the term
- 20 "strong user growth metrics" in that sentence?
- 21 | A. Specifically referring to statistics emerging from the
- 22 | Terra blockchain, which were available to be viewed on the
- 23 | Terra Station dashboard, such as the growth in total accounts,
- 24 | the growth in transaction volume, and total transaction volume.
- 25 Q. And did those growth metrics relate to Chai?

- A. As we understood it, in that same conversation, I learned from Do that Chai is a major driver in those transactions.
- Q. And from a financial perspective, what was attractive about
- 4 those strong user growth metrics that you're discussing here?
 - A. From a financial perspective, transactions in Terra
- 6 stablecoins, which is what is being reflected here, carried
- 7 | with them a small transaction fee. And that small transaction
- 8 | fee accrues to the holders of the Luna assets and that what is
- 9 | the investment prospect was in.
- 10 | Q. And if you can turn to the next page of the e-mail, you see
- 11 | there are two charts reflected there?
- 12 A. Yes.

- 13 Q. And the first chart is titled "total accounts?"
- 14 A. Correct.
 - Q. What does that -- what information is contained there?
- 16 | A. What's being reflected here is the total number of accounts
- 17 | since inception on the Terra blockchain. And at the time, it
- 18 was at a million and almost fifty thousand.
- 19 Q. And just beneath that chart there's another one that refers
- 20 | to transaction volume?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And what information is contained in that chart?
- 23 A. That chart shows the last 30 days of transactions in the
- 24 Korean won stablecoin on the Terra blockchain, and reflecting
- 25 an amount of about 190 billion Korean won transacted in total.

Q. And did you have any understanding as to what this information was -- what this -- withdrawn.

Why did you include this information in this e-mail?

358

- A. It is a reflection of the strong user growth metrics that I was citing earlier.
- 6 MS. MEEHAN: You can take that exhibit down.
 - Q. Mr. Kol, did there come a point in time later when you made a recommendation to your colleagues at Galaxy regarding this
- 9 | investment in Luna tokens?
- 10 A. Yes.

3

4

5

7

- 11 || Q. When was that?
- 12 A. Sometime in the fourth quarter. I believe it was in maybe 13 the end of summer, early fall of 2020.
- MS. MEEHAN: And if, Mr. Haywood, if you could please display for the witness only Plaintiff's Exhibit 3.
- 16 Q. Mr. Kol, do you recognize this document?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. What is it?
- A. It is an e-mail from me to my superior at Galaxy and several colleagues to denote my interest in pursuing an investment in Terra and justifying further work on it.
- 22 Q. And was it your standard practice to send an e-mail like
- 23 | this in connection with making an investment recommendation?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And did you write this e-mail contemporaneous at the time

business record. So the objection is overruled. Received.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 received in evidence)

MS. MEEHAN: You can display the exhibit, Mr. Haywood, for the jury.

25

21

22

23

1 BY MS. MEEHAN:

- 2 | Q. Mr. Kol, what is the date of this e-mail?
- 3 A. August 24, 2020.
- 4 | Q. Who did you send the e-mail to?
- 5 A. Mike Novagratz, and I am copying several of my colleagues.
- 6 They are Michael Jordan, William Nuelle, Brett Harner and
- 7 | Richard Kim.
- 8 Q. Who is Michael Novagratz?
- 9 A. Michael Novagratz is the founder of Galaxy Digital and is
 10 the key figure there, effectively the CEO.
- 11 | Q. And can you please read the subject line of the e-mail?
- 12 A. "Regarding potential position in Terra/Luna."
- 13 | Q. Mr. Kol, why did you send Mr. Novagratz this e-mail?
- 14 A. To give him an update on the latest information that I had
- 15 | learned from Do Kwon regarding the most recent updates
- 16 regarding Terra, and to say that I think we should proceed
- 17 | towards making an investment and doing all the requisite work
- 18 for that.
- 19 Q. And if I can direct you to the third paragraph of the
- 20 | e-mail, could you please read that into the record for me.
- 21 A. Yes. "Received the most recent update on traction from the
- 22 | founder over the weekend. The payment app is the fastest
- 23 growing e-wallet in South Korea, with annual transaction volume
- 24 over \$1.2 billion and over 2 million users. Fees are on an
- 25 annual run rate of \$13 million. Financial applications are

- being built on top of Terra, most notably is Mirror Protocol, a synthetic asset protocol that will give South Korean users exposure to synthetics."
 - Q. And when you refer to the founder, who were you referring to?
 - A. Do Kwon.

5

6

7

- Q. And when you referred to the payment app in that sentence, what were you referring to?
- 9 A. The Chai app.
- 10 Q. Why did you include this information in your e-mail to
- 11 Mr. Novagratz?
- 12 A. As I mentioned before, primary interest in Terra was driven
- 13 | by its impact outside of just the crypto industry, meaning that
- 14 | it is effecting things that are not solely industry specific.
- 15 | And we viewed Terra as having a prospect to penetrate
- 16 | e-commerce and payment systems. And as our understanding was,
- 17 Chai is a major driver of that penetration into payments.
- 18 | Q. And was that information significant to you in connection
- 19 | with making this investment recommendation?
- 20 A. Absolutely.
- 21 \square Q. Why is that?
- 22 A. It represented the best evidence that Terra is having a
- 23 real -- or sorry. That Terra, through the Chai app, is having
- 24 | a meaningful impact outside of the realms of cryptocurrency.
- 25 And as I mentioned before, these statistics are an

23

24

25

happening.

Case 1:23-cv-01346-JSR

Kol - Direct

indication of this happening in the world, and their growth 1 2 over time is an indication of this actually growing, not being a static thing. So it's moving in the right direction. 3 4 Q. You mentioned in your answer that it was an indication that 5 it was having a meaningful impact outside the realm of 6 cryptocurrency. Can you explain a little bit more about what 7 you meant by that? A. As I mentioned before, we understood that the key reason 8 9 why merchants are interested in accepting payments through Chai 10 is those business benefits to them. The reduction in payment 11 processing costs, the reduction in processing times. 12 presumably the growth in these are an indication of more 13 merchants seeing these benefits and wanting to transact with 14 Chai more, and more merchants wanting to transact. 15 Q. Why would that have a meaningful impact outside of the cryptocurrency industry? 16 17 I would say the holy grail of crypto is always having an impact outside of the industry. And here were people who had 18 nothing to do with the industry, who for as much as they knew, 19 20 perhaps didn't even understand that on the back end this 21 payments app is actually running on a blockchain. And these 22 statistics are an indication that more and more of them want to

MS. MEEHAN: Mr. Haywood, you can take that exhibit

use it. And so it's a fairly clear incidence of that impact

O3QASEC3 Kol - Direct

- 1 down. Thank you.
- 2 | Q. In connection with your investment recommendation, did you
- 3 prepare a memorandum?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. When did you do that?
- A. Again, I don't remember the exact date, but most likely it was in the fall of 2020.
- MS. MEEHAN: Mr. Haywood, if you could please pull up
 for the witness Plaintiff's Exhibit 8.
- 10 | Q. Mr. Kol, do you recognize this document?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 \mathbb{Q} . What is it?
- 13 A. It is the investment memorandum regarding establishing an
- 14 | investment in Terra.
- 15 Q. And was it Galaxy Digital standard practice to prepare an
- 16 | investment memorandum in a situation like this?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And was this investment memorandum prepared at the time or
- 19 | near the time that Galaxy was making an investment in Luna?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And was this document maintained in the course of Galaxy
- 22 | Digital's business?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- MS. MEEHAN: At this time, the SEC offers Plaintiff's
- 25 Exhibit 8 into evidence.

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

1 MR. KORNBLAU: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit 8 received in evidence)

BY MS. MEEHAN:

- Q. Mr. Kol, did you prepare this memorandum?
- A. Primarily. I may have used several of my younger

 colleagues in assisting with it, but it's primarily my work.
 - Q. And looking at the first page here, do you see the section where it says "Terra and Luna mechanics?"
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And underneath that it says "how does the peg work?"
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Can you tell me what information is being discussed here?
- 14 A. The information discussed in this section provides an
- 15 | explanation for how the algorithmic mechanism to maintain the
- 16 | value of the stablecoins relative to the asset that they're
- 17 pegged to, that might be a U.S. dollar, it might be a Korean
- 18 | won.
- 19 | O. How did it do that?
- 20 | A. In simple terms, the way the system did that is it had to
- 21 | reserve assets, which was Luna, this would be the thing in this
- 22 case that Galaxy would be purchasing. This asset acted as
- 23 what's known as the collateral reserve. And in instances where
- 24 | the stablecoin goes below the value of a peg, say if it was
- 25 | meant to be a dollar and now it's trading at 95 cents, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

- the stablecoin and bring its price back up to the pegged value of \$1. In instances where the -- sorry. Where the stablecoin is above that amount, anyone could deposit into the system units of the reserve asset and then redeem back the stablecoin, sell it in the marketplace, and then bring the value back to its pegged value. In this case, \$1.
- Q. And this peg mechanism that you're describing, was it automated?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Why did you include this section in your report?
- A. I would consider it absolutely required information for someone who was going to make such an investment. It is pertinent for an investor to understand this.
- 15 Q. Why is that?
- A. In the simplest terms, it basically describes how the stablecoin is meant to remain stable.
 - Q. Directing you to the page of the report that's ending in 6630.
 - Do you see there in the middle of the page there's a paragraph starting with the word "overall?"
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Can you just read that for me?
- A. "Overall, Chai has 2.03 million users, as defined by unique users who have downloaded the app and created a wallet. Chai

currently has roughly 65,000 daily active users, (a three-month range of 60 to 80,000), 290,000 weekly active users (three --month range of 202 to 300,000 users) and 573 monthly active users (three-month range of 550 to 613,000.)"

- Q. Why did you include this information in your memorandum recommending Galaxy make an investment in Luna?
- A. As I had mentioned, from our understanding, Chai was a major driver in activity on the Terra blockchain. And, again, our primary interest in Terra in the first place was guided by its penetration outside of the industry. And an app like Chai and its traction statistics, the user metrics that are posted here are a good indication of that penetration.
- Q. If you turn to the next page, there's a section titled "thesis."

And if you look at the second paragraph that starts with "Terra has an investor awareness problem," can you please read the next sentence there?

- A. Yes. "It is one of a small number of crypto assets that has real economic activity behind it, yet it is generally dismissed by most western crypto investors due to its early go-to-market strategy which emphasized subsidies by the protocol."
- Q. What did you mean when you said it had "real economic activity behind it?"
- A. I meant exactly that penetration in markets outside of

crypto. To us, real economic activity translated to being involved in the providing of goods and services, either through the payments mechanism or something of the sort. In this case, we had reason to believe that Terra has economic activity behind it because of the activity of the Chai app, which was running on the Terra blockchain.

Q. And if I can just direct you to the page of the report ending in 6635. There's a section there that's titled "risks."

Mr. Kol, where did you include this section in your memorandum?

- A. It was a standard course of business with any type of memorandum that we would write. As an investor, you're in the business of understanding the risks that you would be taking with any investment in trying to weigh them against the potential upside or reward. And in this case, we felt that the risks listed here are the most pertinent ones that an investor, such as ourselves, would need to understand as they weigh a decision around an investment.
- Q. And what are the specific risks that you're discussing here?
- A. We discuss the market risk. This one is fairly generic.

 There's always that risk that the market might not be appealing for it.

The two most pertinent and most specific risks, as to Luna specifically, were around what I called the supply

expansion risk and the liquidity risk. The supply expansion was specifically about the notion where any sustained deviation from the peg would lead to additional issuance of that reserve asset, as we discussed earlier, with respect to keeping the peg. And so that meant if the deviations persisted for long enough, the mechanism would create so many new Luna tokens that it might dilute -- or not might, it will dilute the value of Luna tokens that already are in circulation.

And the other risk is that if there was no liquidity in the Luna asset, then the mechanism would also be faced with troubles as it does depend on the market's ability to transact in Luna tokens, and there being sufficient liquidity for the mechanism to maintain the peg on an ongoing basis.

- Q. And do these risks that you just described relate to the peg mechanism that we were just previously discussing?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And was it important to the investment recommendation that that peg mechanism function properly?
- 19 | A. Yes.
 - Q. And would you have encouraged an investment in Luna if you believed that the peg did not function properly?
 - A. I would not have recommended one, and we understood that these would be the primary risks that might still manifest even if the peg was working as intended.
 - Q. Did Galaxy ultimately invest in Luna?

O3QASEC3 Kol - Direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. When was that?
- 3 A. It I believe was in either late October or early November
- 4 of 2020.
- 5 | Q. How much did Galaxy invest in the Luna token?
- 6 A. Roughly \$4 million.
- 7 | Q. Were you involved in the process to finalize that deal?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Who did you interact with to do that?
- 10 A. Primarily Mike Novagratz, with respect to him being the
- 11 | final decision maker, alongside several others. And there were
- 12 | a few individuals who were involved in the actual facilitation
- of the deal, such as Joe McGrady, who was the head of
- 14 perations, who was the one actually funding the deal, meaning
- 15 sending from us to Terraform Labs.
- 16 | Q. With respect to individuals at Terraform, who did you
- 17 | interact with to finalize the deal?
- 18 A. Do Kwon and the Terra I believe CFO at the time who was CJ
- 19 | Han.
- 20 MS. MEEHAN: Mr. Haywood, if you could please pull up
- 21 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 11.
- 22 | Q. Mr. Kol, do you recognize this document?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 \parallel Q. What is it?
- 25 A. It looks to be an e-mail chain regarding the investment in

O3QASEC3 Kol - Direct

1 | Luna tokens.

Q. Did you send this e-mail?

A. Yes.

Q. And is this your e-mail address at GalaxyDigital.io that's

reflected here?

A. Yes.

7 MS. MEEHAN: Your Honor, at this time the SEC offers

Plaintiff's Exhibit 11 into evidence.

MR. KORNBLAU: Objection. Hearsay.

MS. MEEHAN: Your Honor. May we approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Continued on next page)

1	(At sidebar)
2	MS. MEEHAN: I'm going to be questioning him about the
3	portions of this e-mail, specifically e-mail from CJ Han, CFO
4	of Terraform Labs, just reflecting the settlement of payments,
5	and then a statement by a party opponent. It's not hearsay.
6	So I'll be questioning him about that and then the other
7	e-mails are
8	THE COURT: Let me see.
9	MR. KORNBLAU: There's no dispute about payment. You
10	can just ask him did they pay. Was it received. You just
11	don't need all of this. It's a lot of hearsay.
12	THE COURT: Let me see the document.
13	What's the relevance?
14	MS. MEEHAN: Just to show that they actually made the
15	payment and they made it through an internet.
16	THE COURT: So are you challenging that?
17	MR. KORNBLAU: No. Just ask, he'll say yes, and we're
18	done.
19	THE COURT: So why? We don't need the document.
20	MS. MEEHAN: That's fine.
21	(Continued on next page)
22	
23	
24	
25	

O3QASEC3 Kol - Direct

1 (In open court)

- 2 Q. Mr. Kol, I believe you are mentioned that Galaxy invested
- 3 | \$4 million in Luna?
- 4 A. Yes.

- 5 Q. How did they make that payment?
- 6 A. I believe we had sent cash directly to Terraform Labs.
 - Q. Who at Terraform Labs did you send the cash to?
- A. CJ Han, the CFO there. He was the primary contact on the other side for receive of funds.
- 10 | Q. And do you know by what method Galaxy sent the funds?
- 11 A. I'm afraid I'm not sure exactly. Either would have been
- 12 | cash through a bank wire or a stablecoin transfer. Those were
- 13 the two ways that we did it on a recurring course of business.
- 14 | Q. Did Galaxy ultimately receive the Luna that they paid for
- 15 | from Terraform Labs?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. How did Galaxy receive that Luna?
- 18 A. They would send it to us, to a prespecified wallet address.
- 19 Q. What do you mean by wallet address?
- 20 | A. In the crypto sector, you would have for each blockchain an
- 21 | account or several accounts, as we saw with the chart earlier,
- 22 | that shows the total number of those accounts. The way that
- 23 | that account is identified, the way people can interact with it
- 24 | and send funds into it is through what we call a public address
- 25 or colloquially we call it a wallet.

Q. Mr. Kol, we talked earlier about the information that was provided to you by Do Kwon relating to Chai metrics and that -- why that was important to your investment recommendation in Luna.

If you had been told that these Chai metrics and transaction volumes that we've been discussing were being copied onto Terraform's blockchain, would that have impacted your investment recommendation?

- A. Yes.
- Q. How so?

processing times.

- A. If nothing else, it would prompt a line of questioning to

 Do Kwon as, what does it mean for them to be copied? Are they

 actually effected on the blockchain? Because if that was not

 the case, then it's unclear how merchants are getting those

 benefits of reduced payment processing costs or reduced payment
 - Q. And if you had understood that they were -- these transactions were in fact being copied, would that information have changed anything about your recommendation?
 - A. It's entirely possible. Again, if nothing else, it would absolutely change the line of questioning where we would have wanted to understand, exactly what does that mean? How are they interacting with the blockchain?
 - Q. And why would you have asked those questions?
- A. As I've stated before, our primary motivation here was, we

saw this thing that, our understanding was, is having an impact 1 2 on real world commerce, on real economic activity. happening through the Chai app. People were using this to pay 3 4 for things. Merchants were using it to accept payment. 5 reason that they are doing that is because allegedly this gives 6 them reduced cost on payment processing. That's a huge 7 difference if you're saving 50 percent on the cost to accept payment. It's a huge difference if you're getting -- if you're 8 9 getting money faster as a merchant. And so if that wasn't the 10 case, we would absolutely want to know that, and that would 11 absolutely effect our investment decision.

MS. MEEHAN: I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Cross-examination.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. KORNBLAU:

Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Kol. I am David Kornblau, one of the lawyers for Terraform Labs in this case.

We've never met before; is that correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. You would agree, Mr. Kol, that you're a sophisticated finance professional?
- 22 A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. And you discussed your impressive education. I won't go
over that again. You mentioned that you worked at Morgan
Stanley?

O3QASEC3 Kol - Cross

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 | Q. I don't know if everyone knows what Morgan Stanley is, so
- 3 | would you agree it's one of the most prestigious investment
- 4 | banks in the world?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you got that job right out of college, correct?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- 8 | Q. And, again, you mentioned that you sold bonds and then were
- 9 promoted to be a trader of bonds at Morgan Stanley; is that
- 10 | correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Now, in order to have those jobs at Morgan Stanley you
- 13 needed to become a registered securities professional; is that
- 14 | correct?
- 15 A. Yes, had to pass several licensing exams.
- 16 Q. And there were four different tests that you had to take or
- 17 | that you did take to become registered; is that right?
- 18 A. I believe that's correct. At least three that I can
- 19 remember.
- 20 | Q. Did you take a course to help prepare for those tests?
- 21 A. Yes. Morgan Stanley facilitated a course for all incoming
- 22 folks.
- 23 | Q. How long did you study?
- 24 A. I want to say it was about a month long.
- 25 | Q. And those tests that you took, were they given by the

O3QASEC3 Kol - Cross

1 | Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, or FINRA?

- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And FINRA is a securities regulator, correct?
- 4 A. I believe it's a self-regulatory organization.
- 5 Q. And overseen by the SEC?
- 6 A. I think so.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . So you took one test to be a -- to be registered as a
- 8 General Securities Representative Series 7; is that right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And that one covered a bunch of topics, including corporate
- 11 stocks, corporate bonds, municipal securities, variable
- 12 | annuities, options, and government securities; does that sound
- 13 | right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 \parallel Q. And you took a separate test just on municipal securities,
- 16 | right, that was Series 52, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. One on state securities regulation, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And then there was a fourth, let me see if you remember,
- 21 | called the Securities Industry Essentials a couple years later;
- 22 do you remember that?
- 23 A. Vaquely.
- 24 | Q. Okay. Did any of those -- and you aced all the tests,
- 25 | right? Passed the first time, correct?

O3QASEC3 Kol - Cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. Did any of those tests or preparations cover
- 3 cryptocurrencies?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 | Q. So FINRA didn't include crypto as something you needed to
- 6 know to become a registered securities representative; is that
- 7 | correct?
- 8 MS. MEEHAN: Objection, your Honor. Relevance.
- 9 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 10 | Q. Mr. Kol, you had to learn about crypto on your own; is that
- 11 | fair?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And I think you mentioned earlier one of the many things
- 14 you learned in your studies to be a registered securities
- 15 | professional was the importance of doing research or due
- 16 diligence on investments; you would agree with that?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And now, at Morgan Stanley, you mentioned that you sold
- 19 | bonds, traded bonds; do I have that right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. And that was with Morgan Stanley clients, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And am I right that these were institutional clients?
- 24 A. Among others.
- 25 | Q. So big companies, funds, that sort of customer?

O3QASEC3 Kol - Cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. You would agree that those were sophisticated customers?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. So you mentioned in 2018 you got a different job at
- 5 | Passport Capital, correct?
- 6 | A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. That was a hedge fund?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you joined their new crypto fund, correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And you mentioned that part of your role was -- I did not
- 12 get it all down, but to analysis, stay abreast of market trends
- and diligence; you did all those things?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 | Q. At Passport, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. And did you help Passport Capital develop Passport
- 18 | Capital's framework for evaluating crypto assets in particular?
- 19 A. In conjunction with the other members of the team.
- 20 | Q. And so part of that would have been to research companies
- 21 | involved in blockchain and crypto, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. And in that job at Passport Capital, you started looking at
- 24 | stablecoins; is that correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- Q. And Terra stablecoins in particular?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And would it be fair to say that Terra stablecoin was the
- 4 only stablecoin you were interested in when you were looking at
- 5 | that Passport Capital?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And you mentioned a little bit before when the SEC
- 8 | questioned you about how the Terra stablecoin worked; do you
- 9 remember that general topic?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And you mentioned that there was an algorithm. It required
- 12 people to mint or burn UST and Luna, correct, to maintain the
- 13 peg; is that right?
- 14 A. That's about right.
- 15 | Q. And so the algorithm depended on actions of people or
- 16 companies buying and selling UST and Luna or minting and
- 17 | burning; is that fair?
- 18 A. Yeah. Absolutely. The algorithm was there, but market
- 19 | participants still had to take advantage of it. So think of it
- 20 | like there's a computer program, but someone still has to use
- 21 the computer program to effect sort of action.
- 22 | Q. And I think you used the word "automatic," but you didn't
- 23 mean automatic to exclude the actions of people, correct?
- 24 A. That is correct. People still have to use the program.
- 25 | THE COURT: So there are crypto assets that are

1 labeled stable and those that are labeled as fluctuating.
2 What's the difference?

THE WITNESS: In the case of the stable assets, there is something in place that is designed to ensure that this thing will have a relatively fixed value. There's a few ways to go about it. One is to simply say be a company and take in deposits into a bank account, and then mint a blockchain or presentation of the dollars in that bank account. We call those collateral backed.

A large example is USDC, which is created by Circle and Coinbase. Then there are some that try to use a programmatic or algorithmic mechanism, where there is some programmatic mechanism in place, meant to help facilitate that pegged value, such that there is something that will happen if it is above the value, there's something that will happen if it is below the value.

THE COURT: And which of those models, was it your understanding, was Terraform?

THE WITNESS: It was a stablecoin with that algorithmic design.

THE COURT: Go ahead, counsel.

BY MR. KORNBLAU:

Q. You mentioned, Mr. Kol, that Passport, while you were there, bought \$100,000 of Luna or future Luna in 2018; do I have that correct?

O3QASEC3 Kol - Cross

- 1 A. It sounds about right.
- 2 | Q. And what was your involvement in that purchase?
- 3 A. I was one of the people who diligenced the opportunity.
 - Q. And did Passport make money on that one?
- 5 A. I don't know exactly. Not too sure at this point in time.
- 6 | I think so, but I couldn't tell you with high certainty.
- Q. We'll come back to that a bit, but let's just get through your background here.
 - So you left Passport in 2019, correct?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.

4

- 11 | Q. Then you joined Galaxy Digital, correct?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Now, Galaxy Digital, for those who may not be familiar with
- 14 | it, would you agree is one of the leading cryptocurrency and
- 15 | blockchain companies in the entire world?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 Q. How much do they buy and sell? Just in the most general
- 18 terms.
- 19 A. On a given day, the amount is in the millions, perhaps even
- 20 the tens of millions.
- 21 | Q. So in a year, billions?
- 22 A. Likely.
- 23 Q. And you mentioned Michael Novagratz, he was the founder,
- 24 | CEO, and chairman of Galaxy, correct?
- 25 A. I believe so. I'm not 100 percent sure about chairman, but

O3QASEC3 Kol - Cross

- 1 | everything else sounds accurate.
- 2 Q. And you would agree that Mr. Novagratz was a sophisticated
- 3 finance professional, correct?
- 4 A. Undoubtedly, yes.
- 5 Q. And he had previously been a partner at Goldman Sachs and
- 6 at Fortress; is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And, again, if there are people who don't know those firms,
- 9 Goldman Sachs you would agree is a highly prestigious
- 10 | investment bank based on Wall Street?
- 11 | A. One of the best alongside Morgan Stanley and a few others.
- 12 | Q. And Fortress is one of the biggest hedge funds around,
- 13 | correct?
- 14 A. No doubt.
- 15 | Q. And, now, Galaxy wasn't as big as those companies, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. But it did have employees all around the world, didn't it?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And when you joined in 2019, Galaxy made you a vice
- 20 president on your first day; isn't that right?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And then you ended up getting two promotions, right? You
- 23 became a director, and then in 2022, managing director,
- 24 | correct?
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. And managing director, that's pretty senior, right?
 - A. As senior as it gets.
- 3 Q. All right. So now let's get into a little bit more of the
- 4 detail about the processes and decision making that you talked
- 5 about earlier. So let's start with Passport Capital.
- 6 So that one, as you just mentioned, that was a
- 7 | \$100,000 purchase in 2018, correct?
- 8 A. Yes, I'm not sure about the exact date, but would have had
- 9 to have been close to the end of 2018.
- 10 | Q. Yeah. And you mentioned you were a part of the research
- 11 | team at digital for its -- excuse me, at Passport for its
- 12 | crypto fund?
- 13 A. Yeah. The fund at Passport was quite small, so all of us
- 14 conducted many responsibilities, but that was absolutely a part
- 15 | of the team that conducted the research.
- 16 | Q. And I think you mentioned on direct that at that time back
- 17 | in 2018, the Terra blockchain was not even yet up and running;
- 18 | is that right?
- 19 A. That's right.
- 20 | Q. And the Chai payment application also had not yet been
- 21 released, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 | Q. So this would be like an early stage, pre-early stage
- 24 purchase; was that fair?
- 25 A. Very fair.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O3QASEC3

Kol - Cross

- And so you mentioned a bunch of different research that you and your colleagues did. Did that include looking at the Terra white paper?
- A. Yes.
- MR. KORNBLAU: All right. Can we pull up Exhibit D4 for identification, please.
- Q. Now, it's not in evidence, Mr. Kol, so just, you know, keep your answers brief.

If you take a look, was this a white paper that you reviewed in connection with your research at Passport Capital? Α. Yes.

MR. KORNBLAU: Your Honor, we offer Exhibit D4 into evidence.

MS. MEEHAN: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

(Defendant's Exhibit 4 received in evidence).

- And just to help everybody orient, what is a white paper with crypto?
- In the crypto space, think of a white paper as the thing that describes the basics about how a certain blockchain or a certain protocol work. I think the simplest way to understand it is this is the thing that lays out what this thing, what this protocol, what this blockchain is, any problems in the world that it means to tackle, and then a description of how it would do that.

1

2

3

4

5

And these read almost as academic papers. You notice they have an abstract. They have, you know, a very informative body that oftentimes takes an academic tone. And they then go into some conclusion, which is usually — and this is why we the authors are trying to bring this mechanism, this protocol, this blockchain, into the world.

6

Q. Okay. If we can publish it to the jury, I don't know if it's already been shown. Okay. Great. You can see it.

8

7

So the date was March 19, 2018, correct?

10

11

12

- A. Correct.
- Q. And one of the authors is Do, that would be Do Kwon; is that correct?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. If we could take a look at page seven. And we don't need to go in detail here because I think you covered this before. But this discussed how Luna and the stablecoin were linked to each other and how that algorithm worked; is that
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. It goes into some detail about how that really works, right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And this white paper also discussed the risks involved in buying Terra stablecoins; isn't that right?
 - A. Yes, it explained the mechanism through and through.

- 1 Q. And it gives you the -- excuse me. It gives you the
- 2 upsides and it gives you the risks, right?
- 3 A. Yeah, I would say even it describes in kind of high level
- 4 terms what are the worldly or societal benefits that could come
- 5 | from this, and then it explains how would the thing work, and
- 6 how it would not work.
- 7 Q. And I should have asked you this, these white papers, how
- 8 do you find them?
- 9 A. Generally speaking, pretty much in every case that I've
- 10 seen they are published online openly.
- 11 Q. And would it be fair to say that if you're looking at
- 12 potentially buying any kind of crypto coin or token, there
- 13 should be a white paper for it?
- 14 A. Most cases. If there isn't one, then there is something
- 15 | that acts as a functional equivalent for it. Where it would be
- perhaps not as academic, but a similar explainer.
- 17 Q. So would it be fair to say that if you're doing your due
- diligence, that would be a natural thing to look for?
- 19 A. Foundational, yes. Absolutely natural.
- MR. KORNBLAU: Can we turn to page 15 of this exhibit,
- 21 please.
- 22 | Q. So you see there's a section there called "price shocks?"
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And let me just read this. Well, I don't want to read the
- 25 whole thing. I'll read the first sentence. The first sentence

says "arguably the most lethal risk to the survival of a stablecoin is a sudden price shock."

Do you see that sentence?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

7

- Q. Did you read that at the time?
- 6 A. Of course.
 - Q. Did you understand it?
- 8 A. Of course.
 - Q. And what's your understanding? What's this about?
- 10 | A. The biggest risk of the survival of any stable asset, any
- 11 pegged asset, and there have been many, many attempts at
- 12 | pegging currencies throughout the years. It's always the risk
- of a sudden price shock, because what a price shock means is
- 14 | just like a big, big change in one direction of the price.
- 15 Once that happens, it can then distort how people perceive this
- 16 | thing, and perhaps impact their confidence that the peg would
- 17 | ever be restored, this thing that is meant to keep a certain
- 18 | value, would ever go back to it. And that is why I think it is
- 19 | kind of widely understood this is the biggest risk.
- 20 | Q. So if you go down towards the bottom there's a sentence
- 21 | that begins "in the case of a shorting attack." Do you see
- 22 | that? "A well-resourced attacker can eat through fat reserves
- 23 | if they do not offer full collateralization." Did you read
- 24 | that sentence at the time?
- 25 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- Q. Did you understand those concepts at the time you were doing your due diligence on Terra stablecoin?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What was your understanding at that time of a shorting attack?
 - A. A shorting attack is a case where someone is aware they have an estimate of what is the reserve base that a pegged asset would have. Say if you have \$100 in reserve, if you recall earlier we talked about how the way to bring the value back up is by using the reserve asset to purchase the stablecoin to bring it back up to the market. A shorting attack would be trying to short it in such an amount that your reserves would not be sufficient to cover that difference.

And there's the concept of short selling, which means borrowing an asset from someone, selling it in the market in hopes that its price would decrease. And then you could return the same asset you borrowed to the lender, but now it's worth a lot less, and so you can kind of keep the difference. And so in that case, a shorting attack, if you have the means for it and are sophisticate enough, can be profitable.

- Q. And the sentence contains the expression "a well-resourced attacker." Did you have an understanding of what that meant?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | 0. What is that?
 - A. Again, it basically means someone who has sufficient

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

resources to overwhelm the systems reserve. Perhaps the most famous shorting attack in history was the one on the British pound in the early '90s. George Soros and Stanley Druckenmiller are famous for having broken the bank of England. MS. MEEHAN: Objection, your Honor. Relevance. THE COURT: Well, I think the witness had already answered the question and then he added an anecdote and we will strike the anecdote. Q. Well, was it your understanding, Mr. Kol, that a shorting attack could lead to a decrease in value of a crypto coin, stablecoin, or a Fiat or regular type of currency? Α. Yes. MS. MEEHAN: Objection. THE COURT: Ground? MS. MEEHAN: Compound. THE COURT: Well, it is. But I'll accept it for now. And the answer is yes. Put another question. Let me ask you this, though, in the list of risks in

Let me ask you this, though, in the list of risks in this white paper or in any white paper that you've ever seen, have you seen one of the risks is that the offerers of this product will lie to you?

THE WITNESS: Never have I seen such a thing.

MR. FERRARA: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Excuse me.

And if you had seen that, would you ever have invested

O3QASEC3 Kol - Cross

1 in such a product?

THE WITNESS: Most definitely not.

3 MR. FERRARA: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

BY MR. KORNBLAU:

- Q. And when you read about this risk of a shorting attack,
- 7 Mr. Kol, was that a new idea to you?
- 8 | A. No.

2

4

5

- 9 Q. And let's just read the last sentence on this page.
- "Stablecoins that appear to be perfectly healthy can get wiped out like this."
- 12 Did you read that at the time and understand it?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And how can that be to be perfectly healthy and get wiped
- 15 out?
- 16 A. As I mentioned before, if a, again, well-resourced
- 17 | attacker, can amass in an amount greater than the reserves, you
- 18 | might say that something is perfectly healthy because it has
- 19 | ample reserves. Well, if someone has more resources to throw
- 20 | at it than the reserves can handle, then a situation like what
- 21 | is described in that sentence can happen.
- 22 | Q. Now, the crash that occurred with US Terra in 2022, do you
- 23 know if that resulted from a short attack?
- MS. MEEHAN: Objection. Foundation.
- MR. KORNBLAU: That's why I asked if he knew.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

391

1 THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. What, if any, knowledge, Mr. Kol, do you have of the reason for the crash of Terra in 2022?
 - A. I don't have any specific knowledge to it, but it was my understanding that the --

MS. MEEHAN: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. KORNBLAU: All right. We can take this exhibit down.

- Q. Just to wrap up on the Passport purchase, Mr. Kol. When Passport Capital went ahead with that \$100,000 purchase, would it be fair to say that it understood and accepted these risks?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- Q. And would it be fair to say that Passport -- you and your colleagues believed that the potential upside outweighed the risks?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So now let's turn to the purchase when you worked at Galaxy.
- Now, Galaxy, is that all crypto? Is that what they do 100 percent?
- 22 A. Basically 100 percent.
- Q. And, you know, in a year, how many different crypto investments or purchases would Galaxy do typically?
- 25 A. Across the firm, dozens.

O3QASEC3

Kol - Cross

- Q. And would it be fair to say that some of them make money and some of them lose money?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Now, we talked about Mr. Novagratz. Just to clarify, he
- 5 was the boss, right?
- 6 A. Indeed he was.
- 7 | Q. Is the boss, correct?
- 8 A. Is the boss.
- 9 Q. So it was his decision to whether or not to buy Luna,
- 10 | correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. And you didn't have that authority, right?
- 13 A. Not for that investment.
- 14 | Q. You were making the recommendation to Mr. Novagratz?
- 15 A. Exactly correct.
- 16 Q. So you had done research on Luna back in 2018, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. And did you do more research on Luna when you worked at
- 19 | Galaxy for the second -- for that purchase by Galaxy?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. So you did more due diligence; is that fair?
- 22 A. That is fair.
- 23 | Q. And you mentioned you did lots of different kinds of due
- 24 diligence, talking to folks, reading things, you went through a
- 25 whole list. Would you say that it was thorough and

O3QASEC3 Kol - Cross

1 | comprehensive due diligence?

A. Yes.

- 3 Q. One piece of that due diligence, did you ask Do Kwon to
- 4 | connect you to Chai merchants for reference calls?
- 5 A. We did.
- 6 Q. And Mr. Kwon agreed; isn't that right?
- 7 A. Yes, he said he would.
- 8 | Q. And he was willing to introduce you so you could talk
- 9 directly to Chai merchants; isn't that right?
- 10 A. That is what he said at first.
- 11 Q. And he didn't try to stop you?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | Q. And a Chai merchant would know how that merchant receives
- 14 | payment, whether it's Fiat currency, cryptocurrency, whatever
- 15 | it is, correct?
- 16 A. Not necessarily.
- 17 MS. MEEHAN: Objection. Speculation.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 19 MR. KORNBLAU: All right.
- 20 BY MR. KORNBLAU:
- 21 | Q. As part of your due diligence did you --
- 22 | THE COURT: Counsel, forgive me for interrupting, but
- 23 | how much more do you have?
- MR. KORNBLAU: Five, ten minutes.
- 25 | THE COURT: Well, I'm just wondering if we can -- and

O3QASEC3

Kol - Cross

394

you're not required to, but I would like to finish this witness
today if we can, and we have to stop promptly at 3:30 because
of other matters I have. But take whatever time you want.

MR. KORNBLAU: Thank you, your Honor. I don't know about redirect, so I can't say. But I'll try to move through it.

- BY MR. KORNBLAU:
- Q. So as part of the due diligence that you were just describing, did you review announcements concerning Terra and
- 11 | A. Yes.

Chai?

4

5

6

7

10

19

- 12 Q. If we can put up for identification only Defendants'
- 13 Exhibit 9.
- Did you look at announcements on Medium?
- 15 A. Among other things, yes.
- 16 | O. What is Medium?
- 17 A. Medium is an open blogging platform where anyone can write a publication.
 - Q. Did you see this announcement here in Exhibit D9?
- A. I can't recall specifically if I saw that specific one, but
 I saw several that resembled this premise.
 - Q. Okay. Well, then let's take that down.
- And let me ask you, do you remember seeing any
 announcement stating that Chai merchants were made in Fiat
 currency?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Specific one regarding Fiat currency, no. I recall several that basically said that Chai merchants are receiving receiving payments through Chai and that is happening on the Terra blockchain without anyones needing to be aware of the blockchain in any direct sense.
- Q. Yeah. Aside from what's going on on the back end as you mentioned, did you see any announcements that said that what the merchants actually receive is Fiat currency, Korean won?

 A. I don't recall any such announcement with that level of
- specificity.

 Q. And I guess let's just put D9 back up just to see if it

MS. MEEHAN: Objection, your Honor.

refreshes that memory. If we can look at page two.

MR. KORNBLAU: Just to refresh, that's all.

MS. MEEHAN: It's not proper --

THE COURT: He can show anything to the witness to refresh him as long as --

MS. MEEHAN: He never saw it, your Honor.

THE COURT: Excuse me? You can show a witness any document in the world if you think it will refresh his recollection but you cannot — the person showing it cannot identify the document other than by number.

MS. MEEHAN: Understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: That's the law of the United States for the last 500 years. Well, maybe only 200 years.

24

25

396

1 MS. MEEHAN: I understand, your Honor, but the witness 2 didn't say he didn't remember. 3 MR. KORNBLAU: So, sorry. 4 THE COURT: Ah. I can't see on my screen. I have to 5 go back. What is the question that you're putting that you 6 think needs to be rephrased? 7 MR. KORNBLAU: Let me try the question. If you don't like it, your Honor, you'll tell me. 8 9 Q. So all I'm going to ask you to do is again read to 10 yourself, nothing out loud. There's a paragraph -- there's a 11 sentence, let me just make sure I direct you to the right one. 12 Can you highlight it, Mr. Aguino. 13 So there's a sentence in the fourth paragraph. 14 don't you just read the fourth paragraph quietly to yourself 15 and we'll see if it refreshes your recollection on the question 16 that I asked you. 17 A. Yes. THE COURT: No. I don't remember -- and this was the 18 objection, I don't remember that he said he did not have a 19 20 recollection with respect to a question you put. But why don't 21 you put the underlying question again and we'll see. 22 MR. KORNBLAU: Yes, your Honor, I think he did, but

MR. KORNBLAU: Yes, your Honor, I think he did, but I'm happy --

THE COURT: Yes, he may have. I couldn't see it on my screen because it was already too far down.

1 MR. KORNBLAU: Correct. I understand. 2 The question, just to go back, Mr. Kol, aside from this 0. 3 document was just, do you remember reading any announcements as 4 part of your due diligence at Galaxy that stated that Chai 5 merchants received payment in Fiat currency? 6 A. Yes. At some point they're meant to receive Fiat currency 7 from a transaction. Many transactions might occur in stablecoin, but at some point at the end of it they're meant to 8 9 receive Fiat so they can continue to pay their bills and 10 conduct their operations. 11 MR. KORNBLAU: We can take down the exhibit. 12 fine. 13 O. And on --14 THE COURT: You know what, counsel, in fairness, I 15 don't think you're going to be able to finish today and we do have redirect. So I'm going to interrupt now and excuse the 16 17 jury and we'll continue with this witness first thing tomorrow. So, ladies and gentlemen, we will start at 18 19 9:30 tomorrow. Today was special. But tomorrow we'll start at 20 9:30. You've been very prompt up until now so keep up the good 21 work and we'll see you tomorrow at 9:30 with appropriate 22 T-shirts I'm sure. See you tomorrow. 23 And you can step down. 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 25 (Continued on next page)

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: Please be seated. Although the SEC has been sparing in its objections, and this is an adversary system, I have an obligation to keep this case moving and to not let the jury be confused. And I think the cross-examination of all three of the witnesses so far has dealt substantially in irrelevance. This is a fraud case. The question that the jury has to decide and that the SEC has the burden of proving is that lies were told to the investors in order to induce their investments or to effect their decision not to sell as the case may be.

The cross-examination has dealt with such things as economics 101. I am so thrilled to hear about supply and demand and the laws relating to it, and of course, I was completely ignorant of that previously, as was every juror in the world. And I'm also thrilled to learn about cataclysms that may occur, so that even something that's being represented as stable could be potentially unstable. But I don't see the relevance more than tangentially of 90 percent of that.

And in my 28 years on the bench, I've never yet before had to set time limits on direct and cross-examination, although my colleagues do it regularly. But I'm getting very close to doing that in this case. So I want to see a much more pinpointed examination by both sides starting tomorrow morning. That concludes today's proceeding.

MR. PATTON: Your Honor, could we just be heard on that point because I think it's important moving forward.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. PATTON: We obviously want to be on track relevance wise with what the Court views is relevant, but I want to explain why I think those things are relevant because it is going to be important moving forward.

The SEC has listed specific statements both by Terra and to Do that it claims are false.

THE COURT: Yes. And indeed, in response to your request, I required them to list the definitive amount in the amended. And it's been remarkable to me how virtually none of the cross-examination has ever referred to those.

MR. PATTON: Well, I think it is referring to those, your Honor.

THE COURT: By way of JPIP --

MR. PATTON: No, your Honor. A lot of the statements that the SEC -- I believe the SEC in its opening talked about how these things were marketed as guarantees, as having no risks. The first witness they called talked about how it was risk free. It was akin to putting something in a savings account. They're clearly relying heavily on a broad notion that statements that Terra and Do made were either misleading or false. Misleading because they didn't provide the full context. And so this all goes directly to those points.

THE COURT: I don't see how. I come back to the fact that this is a fraud case where they have to show that the statements were intentionally false. Yes, they also have to show materiality. But materiality is — I can't imagine or recollect a case or even remember reading a case where they're, in a context of investment, if you knew that someone was intentionally lying to you about anything other than a really peripheral matter, it wasn't material.

So I don't see how any of this bears on materiality and it certainly doesn't bear on whether an individual's statement was or was not a lie. That's the issue.

MR. PATTON: I don't disagree. And maybe we can -maybe the SEC's claims need to be narrowed in that respect as
well, because it strikes me that their claims are broader than
that and we are --

THE COURT: Well, they were originally and that's why, at your request, I narrowed it to specific statements that they had to specify. And if you're saying that in some of their direct they have been similarly overbroad, that may well be.

That's why I'm addressing this point to both sides.

MR. PATTON: It's not just that. It's also the specific statements they've identified are broad. And the theories that they've been arguing and asking the questions of the witnesses go to that broader theory.

THE COURT: If, for example, I'll just do this as a

hypothetical because I don't have the joint pretrial order right in front of me.

But if a statement alleged to be false is, in my hypothetical, that there is no ordinary risk to this instrument. And then your cross is all about how unusual extraordinary risk may still exist, that's an irrelevancy. Because in my hypothetical, the statement was in the ordinary course, there will be little or no risk. So the fact that you and everyone else in the world knew that if a tornado followed by a hurricane followed by a COVID outbreak occurred, that might be an undisclosed risk is neither here nor there.

MR. PATTON: I agree. I don't think that's what we're dealing with though.

THE COURT: Well, I've heard some things about, oh, and if there's no person who's willing to purchase, then the whole thing is going to collapse. That's right. But that's not the representation or the context of the representation that's being made. It wasn't that the statements that are being attacked are not of the form, oh, but if the world should end tomorrow, all bets are off.

So it's neither here nor there.

MR. PATTON: But to use some of what the SEC has been -- they have been saying this was marketed as an algorithmic stablecoin, in that it would automatically come back to peg. Right?

THE COURT: Yeah. And if that's not what — there are two responses to that. One is to your — the cross that I thought has been relevant is to say, well, that's not exactly what they said. That's fair enough. That's pinpointed to what they're alleging is the false statement. But it's gone well beyond that.

MR. PATTON: Well, if they're also saying that some of -- I mean, there are clearly statements that I think everybody would agree with that the SEC has identified that are true statements. But so clearly they must be arguing that they were in some way misleading. Because additional information wasn't given that should have been given. And so it is important to --

THE COURT: Well, if you and they want to take some time tomorrow morning, we can convene at 9:00 and go through those statements and see if there are any that they're no longer pursuing. But I continue to think that a great deal of the testimony has not been focused, by either side perhaps, but certainly in the cross on the issues in the case. And that's my problem.

MR. PATTON: Your Honor, if I could just raise a related but --

THE COURT: Well, you can't because I've got to leave for teaching at Columbia, among other things, but we'll see you at 9:00 tomorrow.

```
O3QASEC3
                                Kol - Cross
               MR. PATTON: Fair enough. Thank you, your Honor.
1
 2
               THE COURT: Thank you.
               (Adjourned to March 27, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.)
 3
 4
 5
6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	BORIS REVSIN
4	Direct By Ms. Cuellar 238
5	Cross By Mr. Ferrara
6	Redirect By Ms. Cuellar
7	JONATHAN MOSHE KOL
8	Direct By Ms. Meehan
9	Direct By Ms. Meehan
10	Cross By Mr. Kornblau
11	PLAINTIFF EXHIBITS
12	Exhibit No. Received
13	24a, 24b
14	27b
15	29
16	32
17	
18	2
19	3
20	8
21	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS
22	Exhibit No. Received
23	4
24	
25	
ļ	